Updated 1/12/2024

Topic 1: Data models and query languages Unit 1: SQL Lecture 1

Wolfgang Gatterbauer

CS7240 Principles of scalable data management (sp24)

https://northeastern-datalab.github.io/cs7240/sp24/ 1/9/2024 Topic 1: Data Models and Query Languages

- Lecture 1 (Tue 1/19): Course introduction / T1-U1 SQL / PostgreSQL setup / SQL Activities
- Lecture 2 (Fri 1/12): T1-U1 SQL
- Lecture 3 (Tue 1/16): T1-U1 SQL
- Lecture 4 (Fri 1/19): T1-U2 Logic & Relational Calculus
- Lecture 5 (Tue 1/23): T1-U2 Logic & Relational Calculus
- Lecture 6 (Fri 1/26): T1-U2 Logic & Relational Calculus
- Lecture 7 (Tue 1/30): T1-U3 Relational Algebra & Codd's Theorem
- Lecture 8 (Fri 2/2): T1-U3 Relational Algebra & Codd's Theorem
- Lecture 9 (Tue 2/6): T1-U4 Datalog & Recursion & ASP
- Lecture 10 (Tue 2/9): T1-U4 Datalog & Recursion & ASP
- Lecture 11 (Tue 2/13): T1-U4 Datalog & Recursion & ASP
- Lecture 12 (Fri 2/16): T1-U4 Datalog & Recursion & ASP

Pointers to relevant concepts & supplementary material:

- Unit 1. SQL: [SAMS'12], [CS 3200], [Cow'03] Ch3 & Ch5, [Complete'08] Ch6, [Silberschatz+'20] Ch3.8
- Unit 2. Logic & Relational Calculus: First-Order Logic (FOL), relational calculus (RC): [Barland+'08] 4.1.2 & 4.2.1 & 4.4, [Genesereth+] Ch6, [Halpern+'01], [Cow'03] Ch4.3 & 4.4, [Elmasri, Navathe'15] Ch8.6 & Ch8.7, [Silberschatz+'20] Ch27.1 & Ch27.2, [Alice'95] Ch3.1-3.3 & Ch4.2 & Ch4.4 & Ch5.3-5.4, [Barker-Plummer+'11] Ch11
- Unit 3. Relational Algebra & Codd's Theorem: Relational Algebra (RA), Codd's theorem: [Cow'03] Ch4.2,
 [Complete'08] Ch2.4 & Ch5.1-5.2, [Elmasri, Navathe'15] Ch8, [Silberschatz+'20] Ch2.6, [Alice'95] Ch4.4 & Ch5.4
- Unit 4. Datalog & Recursion & Answer Set Programming: Datalog, recursion, Stratified Datalog with negation, Datalog evaluation strategies, Stable Model semantics, Answer Set Programming (ASP): [Complete'08] Ch5.3, [Cow'03] Ch 24, [x755'19], [Soufflé], [DLV], [G., Suciu'10], [Eiter+'09]
- (Unit 5. Alternative Data Models: NoSQL: [Hellerstein, Stonebraker'05], [Sadalage, Fowler'12], [Harrison'16])

RELIMINARY

Outline: T1-U1: SQL

- SQL
 - Schema, keys, referential integrity
 - Joins
 - Aggregates and grouping
 - Nested queries (Subqueries)
 - Union and Theta Joins
 - Nulls & Outer joins
 - Top-k
 - [Recursion: moved to T1-U4: Datalog]

Top Programming Languages 2022 > Python's still No. 1, but employers love to see SQL skills

BY STEPHEN CASS 23 AUG 2022 4 MIN READ

IEEE Spectrum's Top Programming Languages 2022



But among these stalwarts is the rising popularity of SQL. In fact, it's at No. 1 in our Jobs ranking, which looks solely at metrics from the IEEE Job Site and CareerBuilder. Having looked through literally hundreds and hundreds of job listings in the course of compiling these rankings for you, dear reader, I can say that the strength of the SQL signal is not because there are a lot of employers looking for *just* SQL coders, in the way that they advertise for Java experts or C++ developers. They want a given language *plus* SQL. And lots of them want that "plus SQL."

>>

It may not be the most glamorous language...but some experience with SQL is a valuable arrow to have in your quiver.

Fun question: What is the most popular PL?

?

Fun question: What is the most popular PL?



Possibly interesting class scribe: Why is Excel Turing-complete?

Ever since it was released in the 1980s, Microsoft Excel has changed how people organize, analyze, and visualize their data, providing a basis for decision-making for the millions of people who use it each day. It's also the world's most widely used *programming language*. Excel formulas are written by an order of magnitude more users than all the C, C++, C#, Java, and Python programmers in the world combined. Despite its success, considered as a *programming language* Excel has fundamental weaknesses. Over the years, two particular shortcomings have stood out: (1) the Excel formula language really only supported scalar values—numbers, strings, and Booleans—and (2) it didn't let users define new functions.

Until now.

Source: <u>https://www.microsoft.com/en-us/research/blog/lambda-the-ultimatae-excel-worksheet-function/</u> Wolfgang Gatterbauer. Principles of scalable data management: <u>https://northeastern-datalab.github.io/cs7240/</u>

Structured Query Language: SQL

- Influenced by relational calculus (= First Order Logic)
- SQL is a declarative query language
 - We say what we want to get
 - We don't say how we should get it ("separation of concerns")

SQL: Declarative Programming

SQL

select (e.salary / (e.age - 18)) as comp
from employee as e
where e.name = "Jones"

<u>Declarative Language</u>: you say what you want without having to say how to do it.

<u>Procedural Language</u>: you have to specify exact steps to get the result.

SQL: was not the only Attempt

reading order:

- 3 select (e.salary / (e.age 18)) as comp
- SQL 1 from employee as e
 - 2 where e.name = "Jones"

```
1 range of e is employee
2 retrieve (comp = e.salary / (e.age - 18))
where e.name = "Jones"
```

Commercially not used anymore since ~1980

Why PostgreSQL instead of MariaDB (or MySQL)









Method of calculating the scores of the DB-Engines Ranking

The DB-Engines Ranking is a list of database management systems ranked by their current popularity. We measure the popularity of a system by using the following parameters:

- Number of mentions of the system on websites, measured as number of results in search engines queries. At the moment, we use Google and Bing for this measurement. In order to count only relevant results, we are searching for <system name> together with the term database, e.g. "Oracle" and "database".
- General interest in the system. For this measurement, we use the frequency of searches in Google Trends.
- Frequency of technical discussions about the system. We use the number of related questions and the number of interested users on the well-known IT-related Q&A sites Stack Overflow and DBA Stack Exchange.
- Number of job offers, in which the system is mentioned. We use the number of offers on the leading job search engines Indeed and Simply Hired.
- Number of profiles in professional networks, in which the system is mentioned. We use the internationally most popular professional network LinkedIn.
- **Relevance in social networks.** We count the number of Twitter (X) tweets, in which the system is mentioned.

The DB-Engines Ranking does not measure the number of installations of the systems, or their use within IT systems. It can be expected, that an increase or the popularity of a system as measured by the DB-Engines Ranking (e.g. in discussions or job offers) precedes a corresponding broad use of the system by a certain time factor. Because of this, the DB-Engines Ranking can act as an early indicator.

SQLite likely has the most number of installations: its is an embedded serverless database (not a server-client databas)

Source: https://db-engines.com/en/ranking_trend

Wolfgang Gatterbauer. Principles of scalable data management: <u>https://northeastern-datalab.github.io/cs7240/</u>

Why PostgreSQL instead of MariaDB (or MySQL)







Although PostgreSQL has been around for a while, the relative decline of MySQL has made it a serious contender for the title of most used open source database. Since it works very similarly to MySQL, developers who prefer open source software are converting in droves.

Advantages

- By far, PostgreSQL's most mentioned advantage is the efficiency of its central algorithm, which means it outperforms many databases that are advertised as more advanced. This is especially useful if you are working with large datasets, for which I/O processes can otherwise become a bottleneck.
- It is also one of the most flexible open source databases around; you can write functions in a wide range of server-side languages: Python, Perl, Java, Ruby, C, and R.
- As one of the most commonly used open source databases, PostgreSQL's community support is some of the best around.

I also prefer PostgreSQL over MySQL because it has a more principled interpretation of SQL (and a powerful EXPLAIN command)

Source: https://db-engines.com/en/ranking_trend

Wolfgang Gatterbauer. Principles of scalable data management: https://northeastern-datalab.github.io/cs7240/

| ୯ ଜ | 🔍 🗎 https://www.thegua | indian.com/inte/2018/nov/30 | 0/bye-bye-mange-hella-postgre | s | |
|--|---|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------|---------------|
| Support Available for every Contribute → | The Guar one, funded by reade Subscribe → | dian ¹⁵ | | Search jobs | ⊖ Sign in Q S |
| News | Opinion | Sport | Culture | Lifestyle | More~ |
| Digital blog | By | e bye Mon | igo, Hello P | ostgres | |

In April the Guardian switched off the Mongo DB cluster used to store our content after completing a migration to PostgreSQL on Amazon RDS. This post covers why and how



438

7 🖸



🔺 An elephant picking up some greenery. Photograph: Michael Sohn/AP

Source: https://www.theguardian.com/info/2018/nov/30/bye-bye-mongo-hello-postgres

15

Simple SQL Query

Our friend here shows that you can follow along in Postgres. Just install the database from the text file "302 - ..."_____ available in our sql folder from our course web page



Product

| PName | Price | Category | Manufacturer |
|-------------|----------|-------------|--------------|
| Gizmo | \$19.99 | Gadgets | GizmoWorks |
| Powergizmo | \$29.99 | Gadgets | GizmoWorks |
| SingleTouch | \$149.99 | Photography | Canon |
| MultiTouch | \$203.99 | Household | Hitachi |

SELECT pName, price
FROM Product
WHERE price > 100

Simple SQL Query

Our friend here shows that you can follow along in Postgres. Just install the database from the text file "302 - ..."_____ available in our sql folder from our course web page



| Ρ | ro | d | u | C |
|---|----|---|---|---|
| | | | | _ |

| | | | 1 | | |
|---|-------------|----------|-------------|--------------|----------|
| / | PName | Price | Category | Manufacturer | |
| | Gizmo | \$19.99 | Gadgets | GizmoWorks | |
| ~ | Powergizmo | \$29.99 | Gadgets | GizmoWorks | |
| | SingleTouch | \$149.99 | Photography | Canon | |
| | MultiTouch | \$203.99 | Household | Hitachi | |
| _ | | | | | \ |

> SELECT pName, price FROM Product 2 WHERE price > 100



Selection & Projection

How to install PostgreSQL?

As always: if you find something that does not work, PLEASE let me know to fix it!

Topic 1: Data Models and Query Languages

• Lecture 1 (Tue 1/19): Course introduction / T1-U1 SQL / PostgreSQL setup / SQL Activities



| ≡ 💭 northeastern-dat / cs3200-activiti Q Type [] to search > + - ⊙ th 🗠 🚱 | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------|--|----------------|--|--|
| <> Code 🕢 Issues 🖞 Pull requests | 🕑 Actions 🗄 Projects 🖽 Wiki | 🕑 Security 🗠 Insights 🕸 Setti | ngs | | |
| I Files | cs3200-activities / sql / 🛛 🖓 | | | | |
| °s master → + Q | lingo files (+ s | some souffle files) 27f24b7 · last month | 🕒 History | | |
| Q Go to file | | | | | |
| > 📄 clingo | Name | Last commit message La | st commit date | | |
| > 📄 dlv | • | | | | |
| > 🖿 jupyter | 300-SmallIMDB.txt | 630 Simple Yannakakis example w | 2 years ago | | |
| > 📄 souffle | 302-Simpleproducts.txt | 630 Simple Yannakakis example w | 2 years ago | | |
| 🗸 🚞 sql | □ 304-Worker tyt | 630 Simple Yannakakis eyample w | 2 years ago | | |
| 🗋 300-SmallIMDB.txt | | | 2 years ago | | |
| 302-Simpleproducts.txt | 305-Conceptualevaluationst | 630 Simple Yannakakis example w | 2 years ago | | |
| 304-Worker.txt | 306-NestedLoopJoin.py | Updated files for cs7240 spring 2 | 3 years ago | | |
| 305-Conceptualevaluationstra | 308-Purchase.txt | Bagel -> Apple | 2 years ago | | |
| 306-NestedLoopJoin.py | | | | | |
| 308-Purchase.txt | 312-Personandaddress.txt | 630 Simple Yannakakis example w | 2 years ago | | |
| 312-Personandaddress.txt | 315-OtherProducts.txt | 315 corrected | 2 years ago | | |
| 315-OtherProducts.txt | 316-OtherProducts.txt | 630 Simple Yannakakis example w | 2 years ago | | |
| 316-OtherProducts.txt | 317-OtherProducts.txt | 317, 339 | 2 years ago | | |

Wolfgang Gatterbauer. Principles of scalable data management: <u>https://northeastern-datalab.github.io/cs7240/</u>

Selection vs. Projection

302

Product

| PName | Price | Category | Manufacturer |
|-------------|----------|-------------|--------------|
| Gizmo | \$19.99 | Gadgets | GizmoWorks |
| Powergizmo | \$29.99 | Gadgets | GizmoWorks |
| SingleTouch | \$149.99 | Photography | Canon |
| MultiTouch | \$203.99 | Household | Hitachi |

Selection

& Projection

SELECT pName, price
FROM Product
WHERE price > 100



where does the selection happen?

?

Selection vs. Projection

302

Product

| PName | Price | Category | Manufacturer | |
|-------------|----------|-------------|--------------|---|
| Gizmo | \$19.99 | Gadgets | GizmoWorks | |
| Powergizmo | \$29.99 | Gadgets | GizmoWorks | |
| SingleTouch | \$149.99 | Photography | Canon | |
| MultiTouch | \$203.99 | Household | Hitachi | J |

SELECT pName, price FROM Product WHERE price > 100



One **selects** certain entires=tuples (rows) -> happens in the **WHERE** clause -> acts like a **filter**

Selection vs. Projection

| | Product | | | |
|---|-------------|----------|-------------|--------------|
| (| PName | Price | Category | Manufacturer |
| | Gizmo | \$19.99 | Gadgets | GizmoWorks |
| | Powergizmo | \$29.99 | Gadgets | GizmoWorks |
| ſ | SingleTouch | \$149.99 | Photography | Canon |
| | MultiTouch | \$203.99 | Household | Hitachi |

302

One **projects** onto some attributes (columns) -> happens in the **SELECT** clause

SELECT pName, price
FROM Product
WHERE price > 100



One **selects** certain entires=tuples (rows) -> happens in the **WHERE** clause -> acts like a **filter**

Eliminating Duplicates

302

Product

| PName | Price | Category | Manufacturer |
|-------------|----------|-------------|--------------|
| Gizmo | \$19.99 | Gadgets | GizmoWorks |
| Powergizmo | \$29.99 | Gadgets | GizmoWorks |
| SingleTouch | \$149.99 | Photography | Canon |
| MultiTouch | \$203.99 | Household | Hitachi |

SELECT category Product FROM



Eliminating Duplicates

Product

| PName | Price | Category | Manufacturer |
|-------------|----------|-------------|--------------|
| Gizmo | \$19.99 | Gadgets | GizmoWorks |
| Powergizmo | \$29.99 | Gadgets | GizmoWorks |
| SingleTouch | \$149.99 | Photography | Canon |
| MultiTouch | \$203.99 | Household | Hitachi |

SELECT category Product FROM

SQL example available at: https://github.com/northeastern-datalab/cs3200-activities/tree/master/sql Wolfgang Gatterbauer. Principles of scalable data management: https://northeastern-datalab.github.io/cs7240/ Set vs. Bag semantics Think of a dictionary: keys mapping to # of occurences Gadgets: 2 Photography: Houshold: 1



Category

Gadgets

Gadgets

Photography

Household

underlying set also called the "support" of the bag



Eliminating Duplicates

Product

| PName | Price | Category | Manufacturer |
|-------------|----------|-------------|--------------|
| Gizmo | \$19.99 | Gadgets | GizmoWorks |
| Powergizmo | \$29.99 | Gadgets | GizmoWorks |
| SingleTouch | \$149.99 | Photography | Canon |
| MultiTouch | \$203.99 | Household | Hitachi |

SELECT category Product FROM

SELECT DISTINCT category Product FROM

SQL example available at: https://github.com/northeastern-datalab/cs3200-activities/tree/master/sql Wolfgang Gatterbauer. Principles of scalable data management: https://northeastern-datalab.github.io/cs7240/ Set vs. Bag semantics Think of a dictionary: keys mapping to # of occurences Gadgets: 2 Photography: Houshold: 1

| Category | |
|-------------|--|
| Gadgets | |
| Photography | |
| Household | |

Category

Gadgets

Gadgets

Photography

Household

underlying set also called the "support" of the bag





Outline: T1-U1: SQL

• SQL

- Schema, keys, referential integrity
- Joins
- Aggregates and grouping
- Nested queries (Subqueries)
- Union and Theta Joins
- Nulls & Outer joins
- Top-k
- [Recursion: moved to T1-U4: Datalog]



Product

| PName | ame Price C | | Manufacturer | |
|-------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|--|
| Gizmo | \$19.99 | Gadgets | GizmoWorks | |
| Powergizmo | \$29.99 | Gadgets | GizmoWorks | |
| SingleTouch | \$149.99 | Photography | Canon | |
| MultiTouch | \$203.99 | Household | Hitachi | |

Company

| <u>CName</u> | StockPrice | Country |
|--------------|------------|---------|
| GizmoWorks | 25 | USA |
| Canon | 65 | Japan |
| Hitachi | 15 | Japan |

what is here a key vs. a foreign key?



| | | | | | ✓Foreign key |
|--------|--------------|------------|-------------|--------------|---------------------------------------|
| | Product | | | | |
| | <u>PName</u> | Price | Category | Manufacturer | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| | Gizmo | \$19.99 | Gadgets | GizmoWorks | |
| / | Powergizmo | \$29.99 | Gadgets | GizmoWorks | |
| | SingleTouch | \$149.99 | Photography | Canon | |
| | MultiTouch | \$203.99 | Household | Hitachi | |
| | | | | | |
| | Company | ***** | | | |
| Kevs — | CName * | StockPrice | Country | Keys and | foreign keys |
| | GizmoWorks | 25 | USA | are speci | al cases of |
| | Canon | 65 | Japan | more aen | eral |
| | Hitachi | 15 | Japan | constrain | te Maich? |
| | | | | | |

In the following, R(U) denotes the schema of a relation with name R and set of attributes U.

Functional Dependencies

A functional dependency (FD) on relations of schema R(U) is an expression of the form

$$R: X \to Y, \tag{1}$$

where $X \subseteq U$ and $Y \subseteq U$ are subsets of R's attributes. Instance r of schema R(U) is said to satisfy FD fd, denoted $r \models fd$, if whenever tuples $t_1 \in r$ and $t_2 \in r$ agree on all attributes in X, they also agree on all attributes in Y:

 $r \models fd \iff$ for every $t_1, t_2 \in r$ if $\pi_X(t_1)$. $= \pi_X(t_2)$ then $\pi_Y(t_1) = \pi_Y(t_2)$

Here, $\pi_X(t)$ denotes the projection of tuple t on the attributes in X.

Keys In the particular case when Y = U, a functional dependency of form (1) is called a key depen*dency*, and the set of attributes X is a called a *key* for R.

| Produ | uct |
|-------|-----|
|-------|-----|

| <u>PName</u> | Price | Category | Manufacturer |
|--------------|----------|-------------|---|
| Gizmo | \$19.99 | Gadgets | GizmoWorks |
| Powergizmo | \$29.99 | Gadgets | GizmoWorks |
| SingleTouch | \$149.99 | Photography | Canon |
| MultiTouch | \$203.99 | Household | Hitachi |
| | · | | and the second |
| | | | |

| Company | **** | |
|--------------|------------|---------|
| <u>CName</u> | StockPrice | Country |
| GizmoWorks | 25 | USA |
| Canon | 65 | Japan |
| Hitachi | 15 | Japan |



Inclusion Dependencies

Functional and join dependencies and their special-case subclasses each pertain to single relations. The following class of dependencies can express connections between relations. An inclusion dependency (IND) on pairs of relations of schemas R(U) and S(V) (with R and S not necessarily distinct) is an expression of the form

$$R[X] \subseteq S[Z], \tag{4}$$

where $X \subseteq U$ and $Z \subseteq V$. Inclusion dependencies are also known as referential constraints. Relations r and s of schemas R(U), respectively S(V)satisfy inclusion dependency *id*, denoted $r, s \models$ *id*, if the projection of r on X is included in the projection of s on Z:

$$r,s = id \iff \Pi_X(r) \subseteq \Pi_{\underline{Z}}(s).$$

When R and S refer to the same relation name. then r = s in the above definition of satisfaction.

Foreign key

Foreign Key Dependencies

In the particular case when Z is a key for relations of schema S (S: $Z \rightarrow V$), INDs of form (4) are called foreign key dependencies. Intuitively, in this case the projection on X of every tuple t in r contains the key of a tuple from the "foreign" table s.

R[X] functionally determines R[Y]:

In the following, R(U) denotes the schema of a relation with name R and set of attributes U.

Functional Dependencies

A functional dependency (FD) on relations of schema R(U) is an expression of the form

 $R: X \to Y, \tag{1}$

where $X \subseteq U$ and $Y \subseteq U$ are subsets of *R*'s attributes. Instance *r* of schema R(U) is said to *satisfy* FD *fd*, denoted $r \models fd$, if whenever tuples $t_1 \in r$ and $t_2 \in r$ agree on all attributes in *X*, they also agree on all attributes in *Y*:

 $r \models fd \iff \text{for every } t_1, t_2 \in r \text{ if } \pi_X(t_1).$ = $\pi_X(t_2)$ then $\pi_Y(t_1) = \pi_Y(t_2)$

Here, $\pi_X(t)$ denotes the projection of tuple *t* on the attributes in *X*.

Key Dependencies

In the particular case when Y = U, a functional dependency of form (1) is called a *key dependency*, and the set of attributes X is a called a *key for R*.

| R | | | |
|---|---|---|--|
| | Х | Y | |
| | 1 | 7 | |
| | 1 | 7 | |
| | 2 | 5 | |
| | 3 | 7 | |

Y = f(X)

 $\mathbb{R}[X]$ is included in $\mathbb{S}[Z]$: $\mathbb{R}[X] \subseteq \mathbb{S}[Z]$

| Z | |
|-------|--|
| 1 | |
| 2 | |
| 2 | |
| 3 | |
| 4 | |

S

Inclusion Dependencies

Functional and join dependencies and their special-case subclasses each pertain to single relations. The following class of dependencies can express connections between relations. An *inclusion dependency (IND)* on pairs of relations of schemas R(U) and S(V) (with R and S not necessarily distinct) is an expression of the form

$$R[X] \subseteq S[Z], \tag{4}$$

where $X \subseteq U$ and $Z \subseteq V$. Inclusion dependencies are also known as *referential constraints*. Relations r and s of schemas R(U), respectively S(V)satisfy inclusion dependency *id*, denoted r, $s \models$ *id*, if the projection of r on X is included in the projection of s on Z:

 $r,s = id \iff \Pi_X(r) \subseteq \Pi_{\overline{Z}}(s).$

When R and S refer to the same relation name, then r = s in the above definition of satisfaction.

Foreign Key Dependencies

In the particular case when Z is a key for relations of schema S (S: $Z \rightarrow V$), INDs of form (4) are called *foreign key dependencies*. Intuitively, in this case the projection on X of every tuple t in r contains the key of a tuple from the "foreign" table s.

Updated 1/12/2024

Topic 1: Data models and query languages Unit 1: SQL (continued) Lecture 2

Wolfgang Gatterbauer

CS7240 Principles of scalable data management (sp24)

https://northeastern-datalab.github.io/cs7240/sp24/

1/12/2024

Pre-class conversations

- Last class summary
- Class procedures based on past suggestions:
 - Your experience Canvas vs Piazza?
 - Example past scribe posted on Canvas; Secondary posting of class scribes to Piazza (optionally anonymous). I comment on both Canvas and Piazza
 - Already installed Postgres?
 - A downside of HWs with self-determined deadlines: you are in charge
 - Next week TUE online, no class FRI; see links for current research
- Today:
 - SQL continued

Topic 2: Complexity of Query Evaluation & Reverse Data Management

- Unit 3: Provenance
 - [Simons'24]: 2023 Simons semester-long program on Logic and Algorithms in Database Theory and AI
 - [Dagstuhl'24]: 2024 Dagstuhl seminar on Representation, Provenance, and Explanations in Database Theory and Logic

Wolfgang Gatterbauer. Principles of scalable data management: <u>https://northeastern-datalab.github.io/cs7240/</u>

Outline: T1-U1: SQL

• SQL

- Schema, keys, referential integrity
- Joins
- Aggregates and grouping
- Nested queries (Subqueries)
- Union and Theta Joins
- Nulls & Outer joins
- Top-k
- [Recursion: moved to T1-U4: Datalog]

302

| Product | | | _ | Company | | - | |
|--------------|----------|-------------|--------------|---------|--------------|------------|---------|
| <u>PName</u> | Price | Category | Manufacturer | | <u>CName</u> | StockPrice | Country |
| Gizmo | \$19.99 | Gadgets | GizmoWorks | | GizmoWorks | 25 | USA |
| Powergizmo | \$29.99 | Gadgets | GizmoWorks | | Canon | 65 | Japan |
| SingleTouch | \$149.99 | Photography | Canon | | Hitachi | 15 | Japan |
| MultiTouch | \$203.99 | Household | Hitachi | | | | |

Key constraint: minimal subset of the attributes of a relation is a unique identifier for a tuple.

Foreign key: attribute in a relational table that matches a candidate key of another table

Droduct

302

| FIUUUCI | | | | | Company | - | |
|--------------|----------|-------------|--------------|--|--------------|------------|---------|
| <u>PName</u> | Price | Category | Manufacturer | | <u>CName</u> | StockPrice | Country |
| Gizmo | \$19.99 | Gadgets | GizmoWorks | | GizmoWorks | 25 | USA |
| Powergizmo | \$29.99 | Gadgets | GizmoWorks | | Canon | 65 | Japan |
| SingleTouch | \$149.99 | Photography | Canon | | Hitachi | 15 | Japan |
| MultiTouch | \$203.99 | Household | Hitachi | | | | |

Key constraint: minimal subset of the attributes of a relation is a unique identifier for a tuple.

Insert into Product values ('Gizmo', 14.99, 'Gadgets', 'Hitachi');

Gizmo \$14.99 Gadgets Hitachi

Foreign key: attribute in a relational table that matches a candidate key of another table

?

Company



| Product | | | | | Company | | |
|--------------|----------|-------------|--------------|--|--------------|------------|--------|
| <u>PName</u> | Price | Category | Manufacturer | | <u>CName</u> | StockPrice | Countr |
| Gizmo | \$19.99 | Gadgets | GizmoWorks | | GizmoWorks | 25 | USA |
| Powergizmo | \$29.99 | Gadgets | GizmoWorks | | Canon | 65 | Japan |
| SingleTouch | \$149.99 | Photography | Canon | | Hitachi | 15 | Japan |
| MultiTouch | \$203.99 | Household | Hitachi | | | | |

<u>Key constraint</u>: minimal subset of the attributes of a relation is a unique identifier for a tuple.

Insert into Product values ('Gizmo', 14.99, 'Gadgets', 'Hitachi');

Gizmo \$14.99 Gadgets Hitachi

Foreign key: attribute in a relational table that matches a candidate key of another table

tuple violates key constraint



| Product | - | | _ | Company | | | |
|-------------|----------|-------------|--------------|---------|--------------|------------|---------|
| PName | Price | Category | Manufacturer | | <u>CName</u> | StockPrice | Country |
| Gizmo | \$19.99 | Gadgets | GizmoWorks | | GizmoWorks | 25 | USA |
| Powergizmo | \$29.99 | Gadgets | GizmoWorks | | Canon | 65 | Japan |
| SingleTouch | \$149.99 | Photography | Canon | | Hitachi | 15 | Japan |
| MultiTouch | \$203.99 | Household | Hitachi | | | | |

<u>Key constraint</u>: minimal subset of the attributes of a relation is a unique identifier for a tuple.

Insert into Product values ('Gizmo', 14.99, 'Gadgets', 'Hitachi');

Gizmo \$14.99 Gadgets Hitachi

Foreign key: attribute in a relational table that matches a candidate key of another table

Insert into Product values ('SuperTouch', 249.99, 'Computer', 'NewCom');

SuperTouch \$249.99 Computer NewCom

tuple violates key constraint

?

SQL example available at: https://github.com/northeastern-datalab/cs3200-activities/tree/master/sql Wolfgang Gatterbauer. Principles of scalable data management: https://northeastern-datalab.github.io/cs7240/



| Product | | | | | Company | | |
|--------------|----------|-------------|--------------|---|--------------|------------|---------|
| <u>PName</u> | Price | Category | Manufacturer |] | <u>CName</u> | StockPrice | Country |
| Gizmo | \$19.99 | Gadgets | GizmoWorks | | GizmoWorks | 25 | USA |
| Powergizmo | \$29.99 | Gadgets | GizmoWorks | | Canon | 65 | Japan |
| SingleTouch | \$149.99 | Photography | Canon | | Hitachi | 15 | Japan |
| MultiTouch | \$203.99 | Household | Hitachi | | | • | |
| MultiTouch | φ203.99 | Household | ппасті | [| | | |
| | | | - | | | | |

<u>Key constraint</u>: minimal subset of the attributes of a relation is a unique identifier for a tuple. <u>Insert into Product values ('Gizmo', 14.99, 'Gadgets', 'Hitachi');</u>

Gizmo \$14.99 Gadgets Hitachi

Foreign key: attribute in a relational table that matches a candidate key of another table

Insert into Product values ('SuperTouch', 249.99, 'Computer', 'NewCom');

SuperTouch \$249.99 Computer NewCom

SQL example available at: https://github.com/northeastern-datalab/cs3200-activities/tree/master/sql

Wolfgang Gatterbauer. Principles of scalable data management: https://northeastern-datalab.github.io/cs7240/

wCom');

tuple violates

foreign key constraint



Product Company Category **PName** Price Manufacturer <u>CName</u> StockPrice Country Gizmo \$19.99 Gadgets GizmoWorks GizmoWorks 25 USA Powergizmo \$29.99 Gadgets **GizmoWorks Canon** 65 Japan \$149.99 SingleTouch Photography Canon Hitachi 15 Japan **MultiTouch** \$203.99 Household Hitachi Key constraint: minimal subset of the attributes of a relation is a unique identifier for a tuple. tuple violates key constraint Insert into Product values ('Gizmo', 14.99, 'Gadgets', 'Hitachi'); \$14.99 Hitachi Gizmo Gadgets tuple violates foreign key constraint Foreign key: attribute in a relational table that matches a candidate key of another table Insert into Product values ('SuperTouch', 249.99, 'Computer', 'NewCom'); SuperTouch \$249.99 NewCom Computer **Delete** from Company where CName = 'Canon': SQL example available at: https://github.com/northeastern-datalab/cs3200-activities/tree/master/sql

Wolfgang Gatterbauer. Principles of scalable data management: <u>https://northeastern-datalab.github.io/cs7240/</u>



Product Company Price **PName** Category Manufacturer <u>CName</u> StockPrice Country 25 Gizmo \$19.99 Gadgets GizmoWorks GizmoWorks USA Powergizmo \$29.99 Gadgets **GizmoWorks** Canon 65 Japan \$149.99 SingleTouch Photography Canon Hitachi 15 Japan **MultiTouch** \$203.99 Household Hitachi Key constraint: minimal subset of the attributes of a relation is a unique identifier for a tuple. tuple violates key constraint Insert into Product values ('Gizmo', 14.99, 'Gadgets', 'Hitachi'); \$14.99 Hitachi Gizmo Gadgets tuple violates foreign key constraint Foreign key: attribute in a relational table that matches a candidate key of another table

Insert into Product values ('SuperTouch', 249.99, 'Computer', 'NewCom');

SuperTouch \$249.99 Computer NewCom

SQL example available at: <u>https://github.com/northeastern-datalab/cs3200-activities/tree/master/sql</u> Wolfgang Gatterbauer. Principles of scalable data management: <u>https://northeastern-datalab.github.io/cs7240/</u> **Delete** from Company

where CName = 'Canon';

Schema specification in SQL



Pull requests 1 Projects Code Issues Actions ᢪ master cs3200-activities / sql / wolfandthegang ... on May 23 🕥 Ľ 300-SmallIMDB.txt 4 months ago Ľ 302-Simpleproducts.txt 4 months ago Ľ 304-Worker.txt 4 months ago Ph. 305-Conceptualevaluationstrategy.txt 4 months ago 306-NestedLoopJoin.py 10 months ago Pì. 308-Purchase.txt 4 months ago

Inortheastern-datalab / cs3200-activities (Public)

- Create the tables

create table Company (
 CName char(20) PRIMARY KEY,
 StockPrice int,
 Country char(20));

create table Product (
 PName char(20),
 Price decimal(9, 2),
 Category char(20),
 Manufacturer char(20),
 PRIMARY KEY (PName),
FOREIGN KEY (Manufacturer) REFERENCES Company(CName));

-- Populate the tables

insert into Company values ('GizmoWorks', 25, 'USA'); insert into Company values ('Canon', 65, 'Japan'); insert into Company values ('Hitachi', 15, 'Japan'); insert into Product values ('Gizmo', 19.99, 'Gadgets', 'GizmoWorks');

insert into Product values ('Gizmo', 19.99, 'Gadgets', 'GizmoWorks'); insert into Product values ('PowerGizmo', 29.99, 'Gadgets', 'GizmoWorks');
Outline: T1-U1: SQL

• SQL

- Schema, keys, referential integrity
- Joins
- Aggregates and grouping
- Nested queries (Subqueries)
- Union and Theta Joins
- Nulls & Outer joins
- Top-k
- [Recursion: moved to T1-U4: Datalog]



Product

| PName | Price | Category | Manufacturer |
|-------------|----------|-------------|--------------|
| Gizmo | \$19.99 | Gadgets | GizmoWorks |
| Powergizmo | \$29.99 | Gadgets | GizmoWorks |
| SingleTouch | \$149.99 | Photography | Canon |
| MultiTouch | \$203.99 | Household | Hitachi |

Company

| CName | StockPrice | Country |
|------------|------------|---------|
| GizmoWorks | 25 | USA |
| Canon | 65 | Japan |
| Hitachi | 15 | Japan |

Q: Find all products under \$200 manufactured in Japan; return their names and prices!

?



| Product | | | | Company | | |
|-------------|----------|-------------|--------------|------------|------------|---------|
| PName | Price | Category | Manufacturer | CName | StockPrice | Country |
| Gizmo | \$19.99 | Gadgets | GizmoWorks | GizmoWorks | 25 | USA |
| Powergizmo | \$29.99 | Gadgets | GizmoWorks | Canon | 65 | Japan |
| SingleTouch | \$149.99 | Photography | Canon | Hitachi | 15 | Japan |
| MultiTouch | \$203.99 | Household | Hitachi | | | |

Q: Find all products under \$200 manufactured in Japan; return their names and prices!



Join b/w Product

and Company

| PName | Price | |
|-------------|----------|--|
| SingleTouch | \$149.99 | |

Joins

Product (<u>pName</u>, price, category, manufacturer) Company (<u>cName</u>, stockPrice, country)



Product

| Product | | | | | Company | | |
|-------------|----------|-------------|--------------|--|------------|------------|---------|
| PName | Price | Category | Manufacturer | | CName | StockPrice | Country |
| Gizmo | \$19.99 | Gadgets | GizmoWorks | | GizmoWorks | 25 | USA |
| Powergizmo | \$29.99 | Gadgets | GizmoWorks | | Canon | 65 | Japan |
| SingleTouch | \$149.99 | Photography | Canon | | Hitachi | 15 | Japan |
| MultiTouch | \$203.99 | Household | Hitachi | | | | |

SELECT * **FROM** Product, Company WHERE manufacturer=cName

Joins

Product (<u>pName</u>, price, category, manufacturer) Company (<u>cName</u>, stockPrice, country)



| PName | Price | Category | Manufacturer | CName | StockPrice | Country |
|-------------|----------|-------------|--------------|------------|------------|---------|
| Gizmo | \$19.99 | Gadgets | GizmoWorks | GizmoWorks | 25 | USA |
| Powergizmo | \$29.99 | Gadgets | GizmoWorks | GizmoWorks | 25 | USA |
| SingleTouch | \$149.99 | Photography | Canon | Canon | 65 | Japan |
| MultiTouch | \$203.99 | Household | Hitachi | Hitachi | 15 | Japan |



SELECT*FROMProduct, CompanyWHEREmanufacturer=cName

Meaning (Semantics) of SELECT-FROM-WHERE queries

```
\begin{array}{c} \textbf{3} \quad \textbf{SELECT} \quad a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_k \\ \textbf{FROM} \quad \textbf{R}_1 \text{ as } x_1, \textbf{R}_2 \text{ as } x_2, \ldots, \textbf{R}_n \text{ as } x_n \\ \textbf{2} \quad \textbf{WHERE} \quad \textbf{Conditions} \end{array}
```

Conceptual evaluation strategy (nested for loops):

```
Answer = {}
for x_1 in R_1 do
for x_2 in R_2 do
.....
for x_n in R_n do
if Conditions
then Answer = Answer \cup \{(a_1,...,a_k)\}
return Answer
```

Meaning (Semantics) of SELECT-FROM-WHERE queries



Conceptual evaluation strategy (nested for loops):



Meaning (Semantics) of SELECT-FROM-WHERE queries



Meaning (Semantics) of conjunctive SQL Queries





R3



DEFINITION: A function f(x) is "monotone" (or better "monotonically increasing") if:

if $x \le y$ then $f(x) \le f(y)$



Notice that these queries are "monotone": whenever we add tuples to the input, the output can never decrease: **if** $R_1 \subseteq R'_1, R_2 \subseteq R'_2, R_3 \subseteq R'_3$ **then** $Q(R_1, R_2, R_3) \subseteq Q(R'_1, R'_2, R'_3)$

Conceptual Evaluation Strategy

- Semantics of an SQL query defined in terms of the following conceptual evaluation strategy:
 - **FROM**: Compute the cross-product of the relations. This is a new set of larger tuples.
 - WHERE: Only keep the tuples that pass the qualifications ("selection", filter)
 - **SELECT**: Delete attributes that are not in listed attributes
 - If **DISTINCT** is specified, eliminate duplicate rows.
- This strategy is probably the least efficient way to compute a query! An optimizer will find (algebraically equivalent but) more efficient strategies to compute the same answers.
- We say "semantics" not "execution order". Why?



Conceptual Evaluation Strategy

- Semantics of an SQL query defined in terms of the following conceptual evaluation strategy:
 - **FROM**: Compute the cross-product of the relations. This is a new set of larger tuples.
 - WHERE: Only keep the tuples that pass the qualifications ("selection", filter)
 - **SELECT**: Delete attributes that are not in listed attributes
 - If **DISTINCT** is specified, eliminate duplicate rows.
- This strategy is probably the least efficient way to compute a query! An optimizer will find (algebraically equivalent but) more efficient strategies to compute the same answers.
- We say "semantics" not "execution order". Why?
 - The preceding slides show what a join means (semantics = meaning): "the logic"
 - Not actually how the DBMS actually executes it (separation of concerns): algebra

Table Alias (Tuple Variables)



Person (<u>pName</u>, address, works_for) University (<u>uName</u>, address)

SELECTDISTINCT pName, addressFROMPerson, UniversityWHEREworks_for = uName

what will this ?

Table Alias (Tuple Variables)





Wolfgang Gatterbauer. Principles of scalable data management: <u>https://northeastern-datalab.github.io/cs7240/</u>





What do these queries compute?







SQL example available at: <u>https://github.com/northeastern-datalab/cs3200-activities/tree/master/sql</u>

Example originally proposed in Garcia-Molina, Ullman, Widom. Database Systems. 2001. Ch. 6.2.4 Interpreting Multirelation Queries. <u>http://infolab.stanford.edu/~ullman/dscb.html</u> Wolfgang Gatterbauer. Principles of scalable data management: <u>https://northeastern-datalab.github.io/cs7240/</u>





What do these queries compute?









SQL example available at: https://github.com/northeastern-datalab/cs3200-activities/tree/master/sql

Example originally proposed in Garcia-Molina, Ullman, Widom. Database Systems. 2001. Ch. 6.2.4 Interpreting Multirelation Queries. <u>http://infolab.stanford.edu/~ullman/dscb.html</u> Wolfgang Gatterbauer. Principles of scalable data management: <u>https://northeastern-datalab.github.io/cs7240/</u>

R(a), S(a), T(a)



What do these queries compute?











Returns $R \cap (S \cup T)$ if $S \neq \emptyset$ and $T \neq \emptyset$

SQL example available at: https://github.com/northeastern-datalab/cs3200-activities/tree/master/sql

Example originally proposed in Garcia-Molina, Ullman, Widom. Database Systems. 2001. Ch. 6.2.4 Interpreting Multirelation Queries. <u>http://infolab.stanford.edu/~ullman/dscb.html</u> Wolfgang Gatterbauer. Principles of scalable data management: <u>https://northeastern-datalab.github.io/cs7240/</u>



SQL example available at: https://github.com/northeastern-datalab/cs3200-activities/tree/master/sql

Example originally proposed in Garcia-Molina, Ullman, Widom. Database Systems. 2001. Ch. 6.2.4 Interpreting Multirelation Queries. http://infolab.stanford.edu/~ullman/dscb.html Wolfgang Gatterbauer. Principles of scalable data management: https://northeastern-datalab.github.io/cs7240/

SELECT R.a

FROM

WHERE





What do these queries compute?



Returns $R \cap S$ (intersection) (intersection) Next, we are removing the input tuple "(2)"

SELECT R.a FROM R, S, T2 as T WHERE R.a=S.a or R.a=T.a

R, S

R.a=S.a

 $rac{1}{2} rac{1}{2} ra$

а

1

SQL example available at: https://github.com/northeastern-datalab/cs3200-activities/tree/master/sql

Example originally proposed in Garcia-Molina, Ullman, Widom. Database Systems. 2001. Ch. 6.2.4 Interpreting Multirelation Queries. <u>http://infolab.stanford.edu/~ullman/dscb.html</u> Wolfgang Gatterbauer. Principles of scalable data management: <u>https://northeastern-datalab.github.io/cs7240/</u>



Can seem counterintuitive! But remember conceptual evaluation strategy: Nested loops. If one table is empty -> no looping

SQL example available at: <u>https://github.com/northeastern-datalab/cs3200-activities/tree/master/sql</u>

Example originally proposed in Garcia-Molina, Ullman, Widom. Database Systems. 2001. Ch. 6.2.4 Interpreting Multirelation Queries. <u>http://infolab.stanford.edu/~ullman/dscb.html</u> Wolfgang Gatterbauer. Principles of scalable data management: <u>https://northeastern-datalab.github.io/cs7240/</u>

Illustration with Python



SQL example available at: <u>https://github.com/northeastern-datalab/cs3200-activities/tree/master/sql</u> Wolfgang Gatterbauer. Principles of scalable data management: <u>https://northeastern-datalab.github.io/cs7240/</u>



"Premature optimization is the root of all evil." Donald Knuth (1974)

"When you are diagnosing problems, don't think about how you will solve them—just diagnose them. Blurring the steps leads to suboptimal outcomes because it interferes with uncovering the true problems." Ray Dalio (Principles, 2017)



Our colorful hands represent "team exercises" If we are online, please make a screenshot!







Our colorful hands represent "team exercises" If we are online, please make a screenshot!



Product (<u>pName</u>, price, category, manufacturer) Company (<u>cName</u>, stockPrice, country)

Q: Find all US companies that manufacture both a product below \$20 and a product above \$25.





Product (<u>pName</u>, price, category, manufacturer) Company (<u>cName</u>, stockPrice, country)

Q: Find all US companies that manufacture both a product below \$20 and a product above \$25.

SELECT DISTINCT cName Product as P, Company FROM Wrong! Gives empty country = 'USA' WHERE result: There is no P.price < 20and product with price and P.price > 25 <20 and >25P.manufacturer = cName and



Product (<u>pName</u>, price, category, manufacturer) Company (<u>cName</u>, stockPrice, country)

Q: Find all US companies that manufacture both a product below \$20 and a product above \$25.





Product (<u>pName</u>, price, category, manufacturer) Company (<u>cName</u>, stockPrice, country)





Product (<u>pName</u>, price, category, manufacturer) Company (<u>cName</u>, stockPrice, country)

Q: Find all US companies that manufacture both a product below \$20 and a product above \$25.



Quiz: Answer 1 vs. what we actually want



Product (<u>pName</u>, price, category, manufacturer) Company (<u>cName</u>, stockPrice, country)

Q: Find all US companies that manufacture both a product below \$20 and a product above \$25.



Quiz: correct answer: we need "self-joins"!



Product (<u>pName</u>, price, category, manufacturer) Company (<u>cName</u>, stockPrice, country)

Q: Find all US companies that manufacture both a product below \$20 and a product above \$25.





Quiz response: we need "self-joins"!



| • | PName | Price | Category | Manufacturer |
|---|-------------|----------|-------------|--------------|
| > | Gizmo | \$19.99 | Gadgets | GizmoWorks |
| r | Powergizmo | \$29.99 | Gadgets | GizmoWorks |
| | SingleTouch | \$149.99 | Photography | Canon |
| | MultiTouch | \$203.99 | Household | Hitachi |

P2

D1

| | PName | Price | Category | Manufacturer |
|---|-------------|----------|-------------|--------------|
| | Gizmo | \$19.99 | Gadgets | GizmoWorks |
| ~ | Powergizmo | \$29.99 | Gadgets | GizmoWorks |
| | SingleTouch | \$149.99 | Photography | Canon |
| | MultiTouch | \$203.99 | Household | Hitachi |

Company

| CName | StockPrice | Country |
|------------|------------|---------|
| GizmoWorks | 25 | USA |
| Canon | 65 | Japan |
| Hitachi | 15 | Japan |

| SELECT | DISTINCT cName |
|--------|---------------------------------------|
| FROM | Product as P1, Product as P2, Company |
| WHERE | country = 'USA' |
| and | P1.price < 20 |
| and | P2.price > 25 |
| and | P1.manufacturer = cName |
| and | P2.manufacturer = cName |

Quiz response: we need "self-joins"!



| | FI | | | |
|---|-------------|----------|-------------|--------------|
| | PName | Price | Category | Manufacturer |
| > | Gizmo | \$19.99 | Gadgets | GizmoWorks |
| | Powergizmo | \$29.99 | Gadgets | GizmoWorks |
| | SingleTouch | \$149.99 | Photography | Canon |
| | MultiTouch | \$203.99 | Household | Hitachi |

P2

D1

| PName | Price | Category | Manufacturer |
|-------------|----------|-------------|--------------|
| Gizmo | \$19.99 | Gadgets | GizmoWorks |
| Powergizmo | \$29.99 | Gadgets | GizmoWorks |
| SingleTouch | \$149.99 | Photography | Canon |
| MultiTouch | \$203.99 | Household | Hitachi |

Company

| | - | | | |
|---|------------|------------|---------|--|
| | CName | StockPrice | Country | |
| > | GizmoWorks | 25 | USA | |
| | Canon | 65 | Japan | |
| | Hitachi | 15 | Japan | |

| SELECT | DISTINCT cName |
|--------|---------------------------------------|
| FROM | Product as P1, Product as P2, Company |
| WHERE | country = 'USA' |
| and | P1.price < 20 |
| and | P2.price > 25 |
| and | P1.manufacturer = cName |
| and | P2.manufacturer = cName |



Outline: T1-U1: SQL

- SQL
 - Schema, keys, referential integrity
 - Joins
 - Aggregates and grouping
 - Nested queries (Subqueries)
 - Union and Theta Joins
 - Nulls & Outer joins
 - Top-k
 - [Recursion: moved to T1-U4: Datalog]

Grouping and Aggregation



Purchase

| Product | Price | Quantity | |
|---------|-------|----------|--|
| Bagel | 3 | 20 | |
| Bagel | 2 | 20 | |
| Banana | 1 | 50 | |
| Banana | 2 | 10 | |
| Banana | 4 | 10 | |

Q: For each product, find Total Quantities (TQ = sum of quantities) purchased, for all products with price >1.

Grouping and Aggregation



Purchase

| | | | • | | |
|---------|-------|----------|---|---------|----|
| Product | Price | Quantity | | Product | TQ |
| Bagel | 3 | 20 | | Bagel | ? |
| Bagel | 2 | 20 | | Banana | ? |
| Banana | 1 | 50 | | | - |
| Banana | 2 | 10 | | | |
| Banana | 4 | 10 | | | |
| | | | | | |

Q: For each product, find Total Quantities (TQ = sum of quantities) purchased, for all products with price >1.

Grouping and Aggregation



Purchase

| | - | | - | | |
|------------|-------|----------|---|---------|----|
| Product | Price | Quantity | | Product | TC |
| Bagel | 3 | 20 | | Bagel | 40 |
| Bagel | 2 | 20 | | Banana | 20 |
| Banana | 1 | 50 | | | |
| Danana | _ • | 00 | | | |
| Banana | 2 | 10 | | | |
| Banana | 4 | 10 | | | |
| | | | | | |

Q: For each product, find Total Quantities (TQ = sum of quantities) purchased, for all products with price >1.

From \rightarrow Where \rightarrow Group By \rightarrow Select



Purchase

| | Pro | duct | Price | Quantity | | Product | TQ | | | |
|---|-------------|---------------------------|-------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------------|-------------------|--|--|--|
| | Bag | gel | 3 | 20 | | Bagel | 40 | | | |
| | Bag | gel | 2 | 20 | | Banana | 20 | | | |
| | Bar | nana | 1 | 50 | | | | • | | |
| | Banana 2 | | 2 | 10 | | | | | | |
| | Banana 4 10 | | | 10 | Select contains | | | | | |
| | | | | | | and age | d attri gregat | butes tes | | |
| | 4 | SELEC | Т | product, sun | n(quantity | /) <mark>as</mark> TQ | | | | |
| 1 | - F | FROMPurchasWHEREprice > 1 | | Purchase | | | + | | | |
| 2 | 2 | | | price > 1 | | | IUPIE | s grouped together | | |
| | 3 (| GROU | PBY | product 🦟 | | | value | to share the same for attribute "product" | | |

Groupings illustrated with colored shapes group by color group by numc (# of corners)







SELECT color, avg(numc) anc FROM Shapes GROUP BY color SELECT numc FROM Shapes GROUP BY numc

ightarrow ?




| SELECT | color, |
|--------|---------------|
| | avg(numc) anc |
| FROM | Shapes |
| GROUP | BY color |

SELECT numc FROM Shapes GROUP BY numc



Wolfgang Gatterbauer. Principles of scalable data management: <u>https://northeastern-datalab.github.io/cs7240/</u>





| SELECT | color, |
|--------|---------------|
| | avg(numc) anc |
| FROM | Shapes |
| GROUP | BY color |



SELECT numc FROM Shapes GROUP BY numc

 \sim ?

| | | m. |
|--------|------|----|
| color | numc | G |
| blue | 3 | |
| blue | 4 | |
| blue | 5 | |
| orange | 4 | |
| orange | 5 | |
| orange | 6 | |

Wolfgang Gatterbauer. Principles of scalable data management: <u>https://northeastern-datalab.github.io/cs7240/</u>

| color | numc |
|--------|------|
| blue | 3 |
| blue | 4 |
| blue | 5 |
| orange | 4 |
| orange | 5 |
| orange | 6 |

SELECT color, avg(numc) anc FROM Shapes GROUP BY color



| color | anc |
|--------|-----|
| blue | 4 |
| orange | 5 |

SELECT numc FROM Shapes GROUP BY numc





SELECT color, avg(numc) anc FROM Shapes GROUP BY color



| color | anc |
|--------|-----|
| blue | 4 |
| orange | 5 |



SELECT numc FROM Shapes GROUP BY numc

| color | numc |
|--------|------|
| blue | 3 |
| blue | 4 |
| blue | 5 |
| orange | 4 |
| orange | 5 |
| orange | 6 |

Same as:

SELECT DISTINCT numc FROM Shapes



Without group by!

Wolfgang Gatterbauer. Principles of scalable data management: <u>https://northeastern-datalab.github.io/cs7240/</u>

Outline: T1-U1: SQL

- SQL
 - Schema, keys, referential integrity
 - Joins
 - Aggregates and grouping
 - Nested queries (Subqueries)
 - Union and Theta Joins
 - Nulls & Outer joins
 - Top-k
 - [Recursion: moved to T1-U4: Datalog]

Subqueries = Nested queries



We focus mainly on nestings in the WHERE clause, which are the most expressive type of nesting.

- We can nest queries because SQL is compositional:
 - Input & Output are represented as relations (multisets)
 - Subqueries also return relations; thus the output of one query can thus be used as the input to another (nesting)
- This is extremely powerful (think in terms of input/output)
- A complication: subqueries can be correlated (not just in-/output)

Subqueries in **SELECT** clause FROM clause (also called "derived tables") WHERF clouse HAVING clouse

Wolfgang Gatterbauer. Principles of scalable data management: <u>https://northeastern-datalab.github.io/cs7240/</u>

Subqueries in FROM clause = Derived tables



Purchase

| Product | Price | Quantity |
|---------|-------|----------|
| Bagel | 3 | 20 |
| Bagel | 2 | 20 |
| Banana | 1 | 50 |
| Banana | 2 | 10 |
| Banana | 4 | 10 |

| Product | TQ |
|---------|----|
| Bagel | 40 |
| Banana | 70 |





Q1: For each product, find total quantities (sum of quantities) purchased.

SELECT product, SUM(quantity) as TQ FROM Purchase GROUP BY product

SQL example available at: <u>https://github.com/northeastern-datalab/cs3200-activities/tree/master/sql</u> Wolfgang Gatterbauer. Principles of scalable data management: <u>https://northeastern-datalab.github.io/cs7240/</u>

Q2: Find the maximal total quantities purchased across all products.

?

Subqueries in FROM clause = Derived tables

Purchase

| Product | Price | Quantity |
|---------|-------|----------|
| Bagel | 3 | 20 |
| Bagel | 2 | 20 |
| Banana | 1 | 50 |
| Banana | 2 | 10 |
| Banana | 4 | 10 |









Q1: For each product, find total quantities (sum of quantities) purchased.

SELECT product, SUM(quantity) as TQ FROM Purchase GROUP BY product

SQL example available at: <u>https://github.com/northeastern-datalab/cs3200-activities/tree/master/sql</u> Wolfgang Gatterbauer. Principles of scalable data management: <u>https://northeastern-datalab.github.io/cs7240/</u>

Q2: Find the maximal total quantities purchased across all products.

?

Subqueries in FROM clause = Derived tables

Purchase

| Product | Price | Quantity |
|---------|-------|----------|
| Bagel | 3 | 20 |
| Bagel | 2 | 20 |
| Banana | 1 | 50 |
| Banana | 2 | 10 |
| Banana | 4 | 10 |



| × | | |
|---------|----|--|
| Product | TQ | |
| Bagel | 40 | |
| Banana | 70 | |





Q1: For each product, find total quantities (sum of quantities) purchased.

Q2: Find the maximal total quantities purchased across all products.

SELECT product, SUM(quantity) as TQ FROM Purchase GROUP BY product

SELECT MAX(TQ) as MTQ FROM X

Subqueries in FROM clause = Derived tables



Purchase

| Product | Price | Quantity | MTQ | |
|--|-------|----------|---|---|
| Bagel | 3 | 20 | SELECT MAX(TQ) as MTQ 70 | |
| Bagel | 2 | 20 | FROM (SELECT product, SUM(quantity) as TQ | _ |
| Banana | 1 | 50 | CPOUR BY product) X | |
| Banana | 2 | 10 | | |
| Banana | 4 | 10 | | |
| Q1: For each product, find total quantities (sum of quantities) purchased. Q2: Find the maximal total quantities purchased across all products. | | | | |
| FROM Purchase GROUP BY product | | | | |

Common Table Expressions (CTE): WITH clause



Purchase

| Product | Price | Quantity |
|---------|-------|----------|
| Bagel | 3 | 20 |
| Bagel | 2 | 20 |
| Banana | 1 | 50 |
| Banana | 2 | 10 |
| Banana | 4 | 10 |

SELECT MAX(TQ) as MTQ FROM (SELECT product, SUM(quantity) as TQ FROM Purchase GROUP BY product) X



intermediate result multiple times

| CTE (Common Table Expression) | WITH X as (SELECT product, SU FROM Purchase GROUP BY product) | JM(quantity) as TQ The wITH clause defin | es a temporary |
|----------------------------------|--|--|------------------------------|
| Query using CTE | SELECT MAX(TQ) as MTQ FROM X | <u>query in which it occurs</u> easier to read. Very use that need to access the | Sometimes ful for queries |

Subqueries in SELECT clause FROM clause WHERE clause (including IN, ANY, ALL) HAVING clouse

Wolfgang Gatterbauer. Principles of scalable data management: <u>https://northeastern-datalab.github.io/cs7240/</u>

What do these queries return?



SQL example available at: <u>https://github.com/northeastern-datalab/cs3200-activities/tree/master/sql</u> Wolfgang Gatterbauer. Principles of scalable data management: <u>https://northeastern-datalab.github.io/cs7240/</u>



W

а

2

3

4

b

0

0

0

R

а

2

What do these queries return?



(2, 3, 4)

Since 2 is in the set (bag)





What do these queries return?







What do these queries return? SQLlite does not support "ANY" or "ALL" 😕









Since 2 is in the set (bag) (2, 3, 4)



Since 1 is < than each ("all") of 2, 3, and 4

Correlated subqueries

- In all previous cases, the nested subquery in the inner select block could be entirely evaluated before processing the outer select block.
 - Recall the "compositional" nature of relational queries
 - This is no longer the case for correlated nested queries.
- Whenever a condition in the <u>WHERE clause of a nested query</u> references some column of a table declared in the outer query, the two queries are said to be correlated.
 - The nested query is then evaluated once for each tuple (or combination of tuples) in the outer query (that's the conceptual evaluation strategy)

Product

| <u>PName</u> | Price | Category | cid |
|--------------|----------|-------------|-----|
| Gizmo | \$19.99 | Gadgets | 1 |
| Powergizmo | \$29.99 | Gadgets | 1 |
| SingleTouch | \$14.99 | Photography | 2 |
| MultiTouch | \$203.99 | Household | 3 |

| Lom | nanv |
|-----|------|
| | Jany |

| | liee eeanay |
|-----------------|-------------|
| 1 GizmoWorks 25 | USA |
| 2 Canon 65 | Japan |
| 3 Hitachi 15 | Japan |



Q₁: Find all companies that make <u>some</u> product(s) with price < 25

Using IN: Set / Bag membership

SELECTDISTINCT C.cnameFROMCompany CWHEREC.cid IN (SELECT P.cidFROMProduct PWHEREVHEREP.price < 25)</td>

Is this a correlated ?

Product

| <u>PName</u> | Price | Category | cid |
|--------------|----------|-------------|-----|
| Gizmo | \$19.99 | Gadgets | 1 |
| Powergizmo | \$29.99 | Gadgets | 1 |
| SingleTouch | \$14.99 | Photography | 2 |
| MultiTouch | \$203.99 | Household | 3 |

Company

| <u>cid</u> | CName | StockPrice | Country |
|------------|------------|------------|---------|
| 1 | GizmoWorks | 25 | USA |
| 2 | Canon | 65 | Japan |
| 3 | Hitachi | 15 | Japan |



 Q_1 : Find all companies that make <u>some</u> product(s) with price < 25

Using IN: Set / Bag membership

Not a correlated nested query!

SELECTDISTINCT C.cnameFROMCompany CWHEREC.cid IN (1, 2)

Inner query does not reference outer query! You could first evaluate the inner query by itself.

Product

| <u>PName</u> | Price | Category | cid |
|--------------|----------|-------------|-----|
| Gizmo | \$19.99 | Gadgets | 1 |
| Powergizmo | \$29.99 | Gadgets | 1 |
| SingleTouch | \$14.99 | Photography | 2 |
| MultiTouch | \$203.99 | Household | 3 |

Company

| <u>cid</u> | CName | StockPrice | Country |
|------------|------------|------------|---------|
| 1 | GizmoWorks | 25 | USA |
| 2 | Canon | 65 | Japan |
| 3 | Hitachi | 15 | Japan |

 Q_1 : Find all companies that make <u>some</u> product(s) with price < 25

Using EXISTS: TRUE if the subquery's result is NOT empty



SQL example available at: <u>https://github.com/northeastern-datalab/cs3200-activities/tree/master/sql</u> Wolfgang Gatterbauer. Principles of scalable data management: <u>https://northeastern-datalab.github.io/cs7240/</u> Is this a correlated ?





| <u>PName</u> | Price | Category | cid |
|--------------|----------|-------------|-----|
| Gizmo | \$19.99 | Gadgets | 1 |
| Powergizmo | \$29.99 | Gadgets | 1 |
| SingleTouch | \$14.99 | Photography | 2 |
| MultiTouch | \$203.99 | Household | 3 |

| | Co | mpany |
|---|-----|-------|
| I | aid | |

| <u>cid</u> | CName | StockPrice | Country |
|------------|------------|------------|---------|
| 1 | GizmoWorks | 25 | USA |
| 2 | Canon | 65 | Japan |
| 3 | Hitachi | 15 | Japan |

 Q_1 : Find all companies that make <u>some</u> product(s) with price < 25

Using EXISTS: TRUE if the subquery's result is NOT empty



SQL example available at: <u>https://github.com/northeastern-datalab/cs3200-activities/tree/master/sql</u> Wolfgang Gatterbauer. Principles of scalable data management: <u>https://northeastern-datalab.github.io/cs7240/</u>

This is a correlated nested query! Notice the <u>additional join condition</u> referencing a relation from the outer query.

Recall our conceptual evaluation strategy!

Product

| <u>PName</u> | Price | Category | cid |
|--------------|----------|-------------|-----|
| Gizmo | \$19.99 | Gadgets | 1 |
| Powergizmo | \$29.99 | Gadgets | 1 |
| SingleTouch | \$14.99 | Photography | 2 |
| MultiTouch | \$203.99 | Household | 3 |

Company

| <u>cid</u> | CName | StockPrice | Country |
|------------|------------|------------|---------|
| 1 | GizmoWorks | 25 | USA |
| 2 | Canon | 65 | Japan |
| 3 | Hitachi | 15 | Japan |

 Q_1 : Find all companies that make <u>some</u> product(s) with price < 25

Using ANY (also SOME): again set / bag comparison

SQLlite does not support "ANY" 😕

SQL example available at: <u>https://github.com/northeastern-datalab/cs3200-activities/tree/master/sql</u> Wolfgang Gatterbauer. Principles of scalable data management: <u>https://northeastern-datalab.github.io/cs7240/</u> But do we really need to write this query as nested query



Product

| <u>PName</u> | Price | Category | cid |
|--------------|----------|-------------|-----|
| Gizmo | \$19.99 | Gadgets | 1 |
| Powergizmo | \$29.99 | Gadgets | 1 |
| SingleTouch | \$14.99 | Photography | 2 |
| MultiTouch | \$203.99 | Household | 3 |

Company

| <u>cid</u> | CName | StockPrice | Country |
|------------|------------|------------|---------|
| 1 | GizmoWorks | 25 | USA |
| 2 | Canon | 65 | Japan |
| 3 | Hitachi | 15 | Japan |

 Q_1 : Find all companies that make <u>some</u> product(s) with price < 25

SELECTDISTINCT C.cnameFROMCompany C, Product PWHEREC.cid = P.cidandP.price < 25</td>

We did not need to write nested queries; we can "unnest" it!

Existential quantifiers are easy 3



Correlated subquery (universal ∀)

Product

| <u>PName</u> | Price | Category | cid |
|--------------|----------|-------------|-----|
| Gizmo | \$19.99 | Gadgets | 1 |
| Powergizmo | \$29.99 | Gadgets | 1 |
| SingleTouch | \$14.99 | Photography | 2 |
| MultiTouch | \$203.99 | Household | 3 |

Company

| <u>cid</u> | CName | StockPrice | Country |
|------------|------------|------------|---------|
| 1 | GizmoWorks | 25 | USA |
| 2 | Canon | 65 | Japan |
| 3 | Hitachi | 15 | Japan |

 Q_1 : Find all companies that make <u>some</u> product(s) with price < 25

 Q_2 : Find all companies that make <u>only</u> products with price < 25

- \equiv Q₂: Find all companies for which <u>all</u> products have price < 25
- \equiv Q₂: Find all companies that do <u>not</u> have <u>any</u> product with price >= 25

Universal quantifiers are more complicated ! \otimes (Think about the companies that should not be returned)

All three formulations are equivalent: a company with no product will be returned!



Correlated subquery (universal \forall = not exists \nexists)



 Q_2 : Find all companies that make <u>only</u> products with price <u>< 25</u>

Step 1: Q_2 ': Find the other companies that make <u>some</u> product(s) with price ≥ 25

SELECTDISTINCT C.cnameFROMCompany CWHEREC.cid IN(SELECTP.cidFROMProduct PWHEREP.price >= 25)

First think about the companies that should <u>not</u> be returned!

Step 2: Q_2 : Find all companies that make <u>no</u> products with price ≥ 25

```
SELECT DISTINCT C.cname

FROM Company C

WHERE C.cid NOT IN (SELECT P.cid

FROM Product P

WHERE P.price >= 25)
```

Correlated subquery (universal $\forall = \text{not exists } \nexists$)



 Q_2 : Find all companies that make <u>only</u> products with price <u>< 25</u>

Step 1: Q_2 ': Find the other companies that make <u>some</u> product(s) with price ≥ 25

| SELECT | DISTINCT C | .cname | |
|--------|------------|----------|----------------|
| FROM | Company C | | |
| WHERE | EXISTS | (SELECT | * |
| | | FROM | Product P |
| | | WHERE | C.cid = P.cid |
| | | and | P.price >= 25) |

First think about the companies that should <u>not</u> be returned!

Step 2: Q_2 : Find all companies that make <u>no</u> products with price ≥ 25

```
SELECTDISTINCT C.cnameFROMCompany CWHERENOT EXISTS ( SELECTFROMProduct PWHEREC.cid = P.cidandP.price >= 25)
```

Correlated subquery (universal \forall = not exists \nexists)



 Q_2 : Find all companies that make <u>only</u> products with price <u>< 25</u>

Step 1: Q_2 ': Find the other companies that make <u>some</u> product(s) with price ≥ 25

SELECT DISTINCT C.cnameFROMCompany CWHERE25 <= ANY</th>(SELECT P.price
FROM Product P
WHERE C.cid = P.cid)

First think about the companies that should <u>not</u> be returned!

Step 2: Q_2 : Find all companies that make <u>no</u> products with price ≥ 25

```
SELECT DISTINCT C.cnameFROMCompany CWHERE25 > ALL( SELECT P.price<br/>FROMFROMProduct P<br/>WHEREWHEREC.cid = P.cid)
```

Correlated subquery (universal \forall = not exists \nexists)



 Q_2 : Find all companies that make <u>only</u> products with price <u>< 25</u>

Step 1: Q_2 ': Find the other companies that make <u>some</u> product(s) with price ≥ 25

SELECTDISTINCT C.cnameFROMCompany CWHERE25 <= ANY</th>(SELECTFROMProduct PWHEREC.cid = P.cid)

First think about the companies that should <u>not</u> be returned!

Step 2: Q_2 : Find all companies that make <u>no</u> products with price ≥ 25

```
SELECT DISTINCT C.cnameFROMCompany CWHERE25 > ALL( SELECT P.price<br/>FROMFROMProduct P<br/>WHEREWHEREC.cid = P.cid)
```

A natural question

 Q_2 : Find all companies that make <u>only</u> products with price < <u>25</u>

• How can we unnest (no GROUP BY) the universal quantifier query ?



Updated 1/16/2024

Topic 1: Data models and query languages Unit 1: SQL (continued) Lecture 3

Wolfgang Gatterbauer

CS7240 Principles of scalable data management (sp24)

https://northeastern-datalab.github.io/cs7240/sp24/

1/16/2024

A natural question

 Q_2 : Find all companies that make <u>only</u> products with price < <u>25</u>

• How can we unnest (no GROUP BY) the universal quantifier query ?



Queries that must be nested

- Definition: A query Q is monotone if:
 - Whenever we add tuples to one or more of the tables...
 - ... the answer to the query cannot contain fewer tuples
- Fact: all unnested queries are monotone
 - Proof: using the "nested for loops" semantics
- Fact: Query with universal quantifier is not monotone
 - Add one tuple violating the condition. Then "all" returns fewer tuples
- Consequence: we cannot unnest a query with a universal quantifier



Pre-class conversations

- Last class summary
- New class members: next time quick introduction
- Please point out any errors on slides, web page, Canvas, etc.
- Any questions on class policies
- Today:
 - SQL continued
 - Recall: no class this FRI, we resume TUE in person

CS 7240: Topics and approximate agenda (Spring'24)

This schedule will be updated regularly as the class progresses. Check back frequently. I will usually post lecture slides by the end of the day following a lecture (thus the next day), or latest two days after class. Notice that I post one single slide deck for each unit (e.g. Topic 1 - Unit 1- SQL), and I keep those slide decks updated as we progress with the unit across lectures. I post them here on this website (or in Canvas if I think they are not yet ready to be released in public). Please also check our DATA lab seminar for talks of interest.

Topic 1: Data Models and Query Languages

- Lecture 1 (Tue 1/9): Course introduction / T1-U1 SQL / PostgreSQL setup / SQL Activities
- Lecture 2 (Fri 1/12): T1-U1 SQL T1-U1 SQL
- Lecture 3 (Tue 1/16) via Zoom: T1-U1 SQL
- Lecture 4 (Fri 1/19): no class
- Lecture 5 (Tue 1/23): T1-U2 Logic & Relational Calculus
- Lecture 6 (Fri 1/26): T1-U2 Logic & Relational Calculus
- Lecture 7 (Tue 1/30): T1-U3 Relational Algebra & Codd's Theorem
- Lecture 8 (Fri 2/2): T1-U3 Relational Algebra & Codd's Theorem
- Lecture 9 (Tue 2/6): T1-U4 Datalog & Recursion & ASP
- Lecture 10 (Tue 2/9): T1-U4 Datalog & Recursion & ASP
- Lecture 11 (Tue 2/13): T1-U4 Datalog & Recursion & ASP
- Lecture 12 (Fri 2/16): T1-U4 Datalog & Recursion & ASP

Topic 2: Complexity of Query Evaluation & Reverse Data Management

- Lecture 11 (Tue 2/14): T2-U1 Conjunctive Queries
- Lecture 12 (Fri 2/17): T2-U1 Conjunctive Queries
- Lecture 13 (Tue 2/21): T2-U2 Beyond Conjunctive Queries
- Lecture 14 (Fri 2/24): T2-U3 Provenance
- Lecture 15 (Tue 2/28): T2-U3 Provenance
- Lecture 16 (Fri 3/3): T2-U4 Reverse Data Management

Topic 3: Efficient Query Evaluation & Factorized Representations

- Spring break (Tue 3/7, Fri 3/10: Northeast Database day 2023 @ Northeastern)
- Lecture 17 (Tue 3/14): T3-U1 Acyclic Queries
- Lecture 18 (Fri 3/17): T3-U1 Acyclic Queries
- Lecture 19 (Tue 3/21): T3-U2 Cyclic Queries
- Lecture 20 (Fri 3/24): T3-U2 Cyclic Queries
- Lecture 21 (Tue 3/28): T3-U2 Cyclic Queries
- Lecture 22 (Fri 3/31): T3-U2 Cyclic Queries
- Lecture 23 (Tue 4/4): T3-U3 Factorized Representations
- Lecture 24 (Fri 4/7): T3-U4 Optimization Problems & Top-k
- Lecture 25 (Tue 4/11): T3-U4 Optimization Problems & Top-k

Topic 4: Normalization, Information Theory & Axioms for Uncertainty

- Lecture: Normal Forms & Information Theory
- Lecture: Axioms for Uncertainty

Topic 5: Linear Algebra & Iterative Graph Algorithms

- Lecture: Graphs & Linear Algebra
- Lecture: Computation Graphs

Project presentations

- Lecture 26 (Fri 4/14): P4 Project presentations
- Lecture 27 (Tue 4/18): P4 Project presentations

PRELIMINARY

Revisiting our question from first class


 These are the true points that you would get if you could run the experiments long enough.







 Here is what the aggregate would look like like if we could get all points and then aggregated for each size



- These are the true points that you would get if you could run the experiments long enough.
- Here is what the aggregate would look like like if we could get all points and then aggregated for each size
- However, some experiments take too long and we thus have to cut them off after some time.

Question: There is an overall trend, yet big variation for each experiment. We still like to capture the overall trend with some smart aggregations. What can we do?



Wolfgang Gatterbauer. Principles of scalable data management: <u>https://northeastern-datalab.github.io/cs7240/</u>

 Option 1: What if we terminate experiments that take too long, and only average over the "seen points"?



 Option 1: What if we terminate experiments that take too long, and only average over the "seen points"?

We will discuss next class

what would you do



- Option 1: What if we terminate experiments that take too long, and only average over the "seen points"?
- Option 2: What if we cut the points off and still use the cut-off points, and then average?



- Option 1: What if we terminate experiments that take too long, and only average over the "seen points"?
- Option 2: What if we cut the points off and still use the cut-off points, and then average?



- Option 1: What if we terminate experiments that take too long, and only average over the "seen points"?
- Option 2: What if we cut the points off and still use the cut-off points, and then average?
- Option 3: What if we *only* use those sizes (x-axis) for which all experiments finish in time?





Time (log)

- Option 1: What if we terminate experiments that take too long, and only average over the "seen points"?
- Option 2: What if we cut the points off and still use the cut-off points, and then average?
- Option 3: What if we *only* use those sizes (x-axis) for which all experiments finish in time?



- Option 1: What if we terminate experiments that take too long, and only average over the "seen points"?
- Option 2: What if we cut the points off and still use the cut-off points, and then average?
- Option 3: What if we *only* use those sizes (x-axis) for which all experiments finish in time?
- Option 4: What if we take the median over all seen and cut-off points?





- Option 1: What if we terminate experiments that take too long, and only average over the "seen points"?
- Option 2: What if we cut the points off and still use the cut-off points, and then average?
- Option 3: What if we *only* use those sizes (x-axis) for which all experiments finish in time?
- Option 4: What if we take the median over all seen and cut-off points?

Notice the informal "semantics" of median: If more points are "above you" then you are pulled <u>by their number</u>, not by their distance (in contrast to average where distance is kind of a weight)





Time (log)

 Suggestion: Take the median over all seen and cut-off points, as long as there are <50% cut-off points!

Notice the informal "semantics" of median: If more points are "above you" then you are pulled <u>by their</u> <u>number</u>, not by their distance (in contrast to average where distance is kind of a weight)





Source: Van der Heuvel, Ivanov, Gatterbauer, Geerts, Theobald. Anytime approximation in probabilistic databases via scaled dissociations. SIGMOD 2019. https://doi.org/10.1145/3299869.3319900
Wolfgang Gatterbauer. Principles of scalable data management: https://doi.org/10.1145/3299869.3319900
Northeastern-datalab.github.io/cs7240/



Source: Van der Heuvel, Ivanov, Gatterbauer, Geerts, Theobald. Anytime approximation in probabilistic databases via scaled dissociations. SIGMOD 2019. https://doi.org/10.1145/3299869.3319900
Wolfgang Gatterbauer. Principles of scalable data management: https://doi.org/10.1145/3299869.3319900
Wolfgang Gatterbauer. Principles of scalable data management: https://northeastern-datalab.github.io/cs7240/



MB (prior): model-based 10 random bounds

Median time to reach a certain error guarantee for fixed lin. size

Source: Van der Heuvel, Ivanov, Gatterbauer, Geerts, Theobald. Anytime approximation in probabilistic databases via scaled dissociations. SIGMOD 2019. https://doi.org/10.1145/3299869.3319900
Wolfgang Gatterbauer. Principles of scalable data management: https://doi.org/10.1145/3299869.3319900
3135



Source: Van der Heuvel, Ivanov, Gatterbauer, Geerts, Theobald. Anytime approximation in probabilistic databases via scaled dissociations. SIGMOD 2019. https://doi.org/10.1145/3299869.3319900
Wolfgang Gatterbauer. Principles of scalable data management: https://doi.org/10.1145/3299869.3319900
Wolfgang Gatterbauer. Principles of scalable data management: https://northeastern-datalab.github.io/cs7240/



Source: Van der Heuvel, Ivanov, Gatterbauer, Geerts, Theobald. Anytime approximation in probabilistic databases via scaled dissociations. SIGMOD 2019. https://doi.org/10.1145/3299869.3319900
Wolfgang Gatterbauer. Principles of scalable data management: https://doi.org/10.1145/3299869.3319900
Northeastern-datalab.github.io/cs7240/

Example: Experiments figures from [SIGMOD'19] median >100 sec (timed out) elative epsilon-approximation MB (prior): model-based 10 random bounds MB and PGD: relative epsilon-approximation 10^{3} MB 0.0 MB 0.2PGD (our): projected MB 0.4 10^{2} /gradient descent PGD 0.0 PGD 0.2 PGD 0.4 1000 x faster 10^{1} Time (sec) 399[°] k faster 10^{0} 10^{-1} Take-away 100 msec • considerable 10^{-2} speed-ups possible 🙂 10^{-3} 10^{2} 10^{3} 10^{1} 10^{4} Lineage Size

Source: Van der Heuvel, Ivanov, Gatterbauer, Geerts, Theobald. Anytime approximation in probabilistic databases via scaled dissociations. SIGMOD 2019. https://doi.org/10.1145/3299869.3319900
Wolfgang Gatterbauer. Principles of scalable data management: https://doi.org/10.1145/3299869.3319900
Northeastern-datalab.github.io/cs7240/

Viewpoint The End of Programming

The end of classical computer science is coming, and most of us are dinosaurs waiting for the meteor to hit.

cations, most software, as we know it, will be replaced by AI systems that are *trained* rather than *programmed*. In situations

tension, I believe the computer scientists of the future will be so far removed from the classic definitions of "software" that they would be hard-pressed to reverse a linked list or implement Quicksort. (I am

mers.¹ I am talking about *replacing the entire concept of writing programs with training models.* In the future, CS stuwill be about coming up with the right examples, the right training data, and the right ways to evaluate the training process. Suitably powerful models capable

Matt Welsh

ligent AI running amok. We currently have no way, apart from empirical study, to determine the limits of current AI systems. As for future AI models that are or-

tions; the building blocks of AI systems are much higher-level abstractions like attention layers, tokenizers, and datasets. A time traveler from even 20 years ago

Why do I think we should care about experimental setups, even in theory!

> decidability. AI-based computation has long since crossed the Rubicon of being amenable to static analysis and formal proof. We are rapidly moving toward a world where the fundamental building blocks of computation are temperamental, mysterious, adaptive agents.

> *large AI models work*. People are publishing research papers^{3–5} actually *discovering new behaviors* of existing large models, even though these systems have been "engineered" by humans. Large AI models are capable of doing things that they have not been explicitly trained to do,

Outline: T1-U1: SQL

• SQL

- Schema, keys, referential integrity
- Joins
- Aggregates and grouping
- Nested queries (Subqueries)
- Union and Theta Joins
- Nulls & Outer joins
- Top-k
- [Recursion: moved to T1-U4: Datalog]

Understanding nested queries with "Relational Diagrams"

The sailors database

Sailor (sid, sname, rating, age) Reserves (sid, bid, day) Boat (bid, bname, color)



| Sailor | | | | | | Res | Reserves | | |
|--------|-----|---------|--------|------|---|-----|----------|----|--|
| | sid | sname | rating | age | | sid | bid | da | |
| | 22 | Dustin | 7 | 45.0 | | 22 | 101 | 10 | |
| | 29 | Brutus | 1 | 33.0 | * | 22 | 102 | 10 | |
| | 31 | Lubber | 8 | 55.5 | | 22 | 103 | 10 | |
| | 32 | Andy | 8 | 25.5 | | 22 | 104 | 10 | |
| | 58 | Rusty | 10 | 35.0 | | 31 | 102 | 11 | |
| | 64 | Horatio | 7 | 35.0 | | 31 | 103 | 11 | |
| | 71 | Zorba | 10 | 16.0 | | 31 | 104 | 11 | |
| | 74 | Horatio | 9 | 35.0 | | 64 | 101 | 9/ | |
| | 85 | Art | 3 | 25.5 | | 64 | 102 | 9/ | |
| | 95 | Bob | 3 | 63.5 | | 74 | 103 | 9/ | |

Figure 5.1 An Instance S3 of Sailors

| sid | bid | day |
|-----|-----|----------|
| 22 | 101 | 10/10/98 |
| 22 | 102 | 10/10/98 |
| 22 | 103 | 10/8/98 |
| 22 | 104 | 10/7/98 |
| 31 | 102 | 11/10/98 |
| 31 | 103 | 11/6/98 |
| 31 | 104 | 11/12/98 |
| 64 | 101 | 9/5/98 |
| 64 | 102 | 9/8/98 |
| 74 | 103 | 9/8/98 |

Figure 5.2 An Instance R2 of Reserves

| Boat | | | | | | |
|------|-----------|-------|--|--|--|--|
| bid | bname | color | | | | |
| 101 | Interlake | blue | | | | |
| 102 | Interlake | red | | | | |
| 103 | Clipper | green | | | | |
| 104 | Marine | red | | | | |

Figure 5.3 An Instance B1 of Boats

Schema and several of the following queries taken from: Ramakrishnan, Gehrke: Database management systems, 2nd ed (2000). http://pages.cs.wisc.edu/~dbbook/ Wolfgang Gatterbauer. Principles of scalable data management: https://northeastern-datalab.github.io/cs7240/

Q:

Sailor (<u>sid</u>, sname, rating, age) Reserves (<u>sid, bid, day</u>) Boat (<u>bid</u>, bname, color)





SELECT DISTINCT S.sname
FROM Sailor S
WHERE S.sid IN
 (SELECT R.sid
 FROM Reserves R
 WHERE R.bid IN
 (SELECT B.bid
 FROM Boat B
 WHERE B.color = 'red'))

Sailor (<u>sid</u>, sname, rating, age) Reserves (<u>sid, bid, day</u>) Boat (<u>bid</u>, bname, color)



Q: Find the names of sailors who have reserved a red boat.

```
SELECT DISTINCT S.sname
FROM Sailor S
WHERE S.sid IN
 (SELECT R.sid
 FROM Reserves R
 WHERE R.bid IN
 (SELECT B.bid
 FROM Boat B
 WHERE B.color = 'red'))
```



{S.sname | $\exists S \in Sailor.(\exists R \in Reserves.(R.sid=S.sid \land \exists B \in Boat.(B.bid=R.bid \land B.color='red')))$ }

Sailor (<u>sid</u>, sname, rating, age) Reserves (<u>sid, bid, day</u>) Boat (<u>bid</u>, bname, color)



Q: Find sailors who have reserved a red boat.

```
SELECT DISTINCT S. sname
FROM Sailor S
WHERE EXISTS
  (SELECT R.sid
  FROM Reserves R
  WHERE R.sid=S.sid
  AND EXISTS
    (SELECT B.bid
    FROM Boat B
    WHERE B_bid = R_bid
    AND B.color = 'red'))
```



This is an alternative way to write the previous query with EXISTS and correlated nested queries that matches the Relational Calculus below.

 $\{S.sname \mid \exists S \in Sailor.(\exists R \in Reserves.(R.sid=S.sid \land \exists B \in Boat.(B.bid=R.bid \land B.color='red'))\}$

FROM Sailor S

SELECT DISTINCT S. sname

Q:

Sailor (<u>sid</u>, sname, rating, age) Reserves (<u>sid, bid, day</u>) Boat (<u>bid</u>, bname, color)





WHERE EXISTS
 (SELECT R.sid
 FROM Reserves R
 WHERE R.sid=S.sid
 AND NOT EXISTS
 (SELECT B.bid
 FROM Boat B
 WHERE B.bid = R.bid
 AND B.color = 'red'))

{S.sname | ∃S∈Sailor.(∃R∈Reserves.(R.sid=S.sid ∧ ∄B∈Boat.(B.bid=R.bid ∧ B.color='red')))}

Wolfgang Gatterbauer. Principles of scalable data management: https://northeastern-datalab.github.io/cs7240/

Sailor (<u>sid</u>, sname, rating, age) Reserves (<u>sid, bid, day</u>) Boat (<u>bid</u>, bname, color)



Q: Find sailors who have reserved a boat that is not red.

```
SELECT DISTINCT S. sname
FROM Sailor S
WHERE EXISTS
  (SELECT R.sid
  FROM Reserves R
  WHERE R.sid=S.sid
  AND NOT EXISTS
    (SELECT B.bid
    FROM Boat B
    WHERE B.bid = R.bid
    AND B.color = 'red'))
```



They must have reserved <u>at least one boat</u> in another color. They can also have reserved a red boat in addition.

{S.sname | ∃S∈Sailor.(∃R∈Reserves.(R.sid=S.sid ∧ ∄B∈Boat.(B.bid=R.bid ∧ B.color='red')))}

Wolfgang Gatterbauer. Principles of scalable data management: <u>https://northeastern-datalab.github.io/cs7240/</u>

Sailor (<u>sid</u>, sname, rating, age) Reserves (<u>sid, bid, day</u>) Boat (<u>bid</u>, bname, color)





Q: **SELECT DISTINCT S. sname** FROM Sailor S WHERE NOT EXISTS (SELECT R.sid **FROM** Reserves R WHERE R.sid=S.sid AND EXISTS (SELECT B.bid FROM Boat B WHERE B.bid = R.bid AND B.color = 'red'))

 $\{S.sname \mid \exists S \in Sailor.(\exists R \in Reserves.(R.sid=S.sid \land \exists B \in Boat.(B.bid=R.bid \land B.color='red'))\}$

Sailor (<u>sid</u>, sname, rating, age) Reserves (<u>sid, bid, day</u>) Boat (<u>bid</u>, bname, color)



Q: Find sailors who have not reserved a red boat.

```
SELECT DISTINCT S. sname
FROM Sailor S
WHERE NOT EXISTS
  (SELECT R.sid
  FROM Reserves R
  WHERE R.sid=S.sid
  AND EXISTS
    (SELECT B.bid
    FROM Boat B
    WHERE B_bid = R_bid
    AND B.color = 'red'))
```



They can have reserved D or more boats in another color, but <u>must</u> <u>not have reserved any red boat</u>.

 $\{S.sname \mid \exists S \in Sailor.(\exists R \in Reserves.(R.sid=S.sid \land \exists B \in Boat.(B.bid=R.bid \land B.color='red'))\}$

Quiz: Dustin?



| Sallor | | | | | |
|--------|-------------------------|--------|------|--|--|
| - | | | | | |
| sid | sname | rating | age | | |
| 22 | Dustin | 7 | 45.0 | | |
| 29 | Brutus | 1 | 33.0 | | |
| 31 | Lubber | 8 | 55.5 | | |
| 32 | Andy | 8 | 25.5 | | |
| 58 | Rusty | 10 | 35.0 | | |
| 64 | Horatio | 7 | 35.0 | | |
| 71 | Zorba | 10 | 16.0 | | |
| 74 | Horatio | 9 | 35.0 | | |
| 85 | Art | 3 | 25.5 | | |
| 95 | Bob | 3 | 63.5 | | |

| Figure 5.1 | An | Instance | S3 | of | Sailors |
|------------|----|----------|----|----|---------|
|------------|----|----------|----|----|---------|

| _ | IVE3 | | 53 | |
|---|------|-----|----------|--|
| | sid | bid | day | |
| | 22 | 101 | 10/10/98 | |
| | 22 | 102 | 10/10/98 | |
| | 22 | 103 | 10/8/98 | |
| | 22 | 104 | 10/7/98 | |
| | 31 | 102 | 11/10/98 | |
| | 31 | 103 | 11/6/98 | |
| | 31 | 104 | 11/12/98 | |
| | 64 | 101 | 9/5/98 | |
| | 64 | 102 | 9/8/98 | |
| | 74 | 103 | 9/8/98 | |

Deconvoc

Figure 5.2 An Instance R2 of Reserves

| Dout | | | | | |
|------|-----------|-------|--|--|--|
| | | | | | |
| bid | bname | color | | | |
| 101 | Interlake | blue | | | |
| 102 | Interlake | red | | | |
| 103 | Clipper | green | | | |
| 104 | Marine | red | | | |

Boat

Figure 5.3 An Instance B1 of Boats

Should Dustin be in the output of either of the two queries?

Q2: Find sailors who have reserved a boat that is not red. Q3: Find sailors who have not reserved a red boat.

Schema and several of the following queries taken from: Ramakrishnan, Gehrke: Database management systems, 2nd ed (2000). <u>http://pages.cs.wisc.edu/~dbbook/</u> Wolfgang Gatterbauer. Principles of scalable data management: <u>https://northeastern-datalab.github.io/cs7240/</u>

Quiz: Dustin?



| Sallor | | | | | |
|--------|---------|--------|------|--|--|
| - | | | | | |
| sid | sname | rating | age | | |
| 22 | Dustin | 7 | 45.0 | | |
| 29 | Brutus | 1 | 33.0 | | |
| 31 | Lubber | 8 | 55.5 | | |
| 32 | Andy | 8 | 25.5 | | |
| 58 | Rusty | 10 | 35.0 | | |
| 64 | Horatio | 7 | 35.0 | | |
| 71 | Zorba | 10 | 16.0 | | |
| 74 | Horatio | 9 | 35.0 | | |
| 85 | Art | 3 | 25.5 | | |
| 95 | Bob | 3 | 63.5 | | |

| Figure 5.1 | An | Instance | S3 | of | Sailors |
|------------|----|----------|----|----|---------|
|------------|----|----------|----|----|---------|

| sid | bid | day |
|-----|-----|----------|
| 22 | 101 | 10/10/98 |
| 22 | 102 | 10/10/98 |
| 22 | 103 | 10/8/98 |
| 22 | 104 | 10/7/98 |
| 31 | 102 | 11/10/98 |
| 31 | 103 | 11/6/98 |
| 31 | 104 | 11/12/98 |
| 64 | 101 | 9/5/98 |
| 64 | 102 | 9/8/98 |
| 74 | 103 | 9/8/98 |

Recerves

Figure 5.2 An Instance R2 of Reserves

| | • | |
|-----|-----------|-------|
| bid | bname | color |
| 101 | Interlake | blue |
| 102 | Interlake | red |
| 103 | Clipper | green |
| 104 | Marine | red |

Roat

Figure 5.3 An Instance B1 of Boats

Should Dustin be in the output of either of the two queries?

Q2: Find sailors who have reserved a boat that is not red. Q3: Find sailors who have not reserved a red boat.

Yes! No!

Schema and several of the following queries taken from: Ramakrishnan, Gehrke: Database management systems, 2nd ed (2000). <u>http://pages.cs.wisc.edu/~dbbook/</u> Wolfgang Gatterbauer. Principles of scalable data management: <u>https://northeastern-datalab.github.io/cs7240/</u>

Q:

Sailor (<u>sid</u>, sname, rating, age) Reserves (<u>sid, bid, day</u>) Boat (<u>bid</u>, bname, color)





SELECT DISTINCT S. sname FROM Sailor S WHERE NOT EXISTS (SELECT R.sid **FROM** Reserves R WHERE R.sid=S.sid AND NOT EXISTS (SELECT B.bid FROM Boat B WHERE $B_bid = R_bid$ AND B.color = 'red'))

{S.sname | $\exists S \in Sailor.(\exists R \in Reserves.(R.sid=S.sid \land \exists B \in Boat.(B.bid=R.bid \land B.color='red')))$ }

Wolfgang Gatterbauer. Principles of scalable data management: https://northeastern-datalab.github.io/cs7240/

Nested query 4

They can have reserved <u>D or more boats in red</u>, just no other constant (bid, bname, color)

= Find sailors who have reserved only red boats

Q: Find sailors who have not reserved a boat that is not red.

```
SELECT DISTINCT S. sname
FROM Sailor S
WHERE NOT EXISTS
  (SELECT R.sid
  FROM Reserves R
  WHERE R.sid=S.sid
  AND NOT EXISTS
    (SELECT B.bid
    FROM Boat B
    WHERE B_bid = R_bid
    AND B.color = 'red'))
```



They can have reserved <u>D or more</u> <u>boats in red</u>, just no other color.

{S.sname | ∃S∈Sailor.(∄R∈Reserves.(R.sid=S.sid ∧ ∄B∈Boat.(B.bid=R.bid ∧ B.color='red')))}





Nested query 4 (universal)

They can have reserved <u>D or more boats in red</u>, just no other constant (bid, bid, day)

= Find sailors who have reserved only red boats

Q: Find sailors who have not reserved a boat that is not red.

```
SELECT DISTINCT S. sname
FROM Sailor S
WHERE NOT EXISTS
  (SELECT R.sid
  FROM Reserves R
  WHERE R.sid=S.sid
  AND NOT EXISTS
    (SELECT B.bid
    FROM Boat B
    WHERE B_bid = R_bid
    AND B.color = 'red'))
```

 $\{S.sname \mid \exists S \in Sailor.(\forall R \in Reserves.(R.sid=S.sid \rightarrow \exists B \in Boat.(B.bid=R.bid \land B.color='red'))) \}$ $\{S.sname \mid \exists S \in Sailor.(\exists R \in Reserves.(R.sid=S.sid \land \exists B \in Boat.(B.bid=R.bid \land B.color='red'))) \}$



Sailor (<u>sid</u>, sname, rating, age)

They can have reserved <u>D or more</u> <u>boats in red</u>, just no other color.



Nested query 4 (another variant)

Find sailors who have reserved only red boatsQ: Find sailors who have not reserved a boat that is not red.

```
SELECT DISTINCT S. sname
FROM Sailor S
WHERE NOT EXISTS
  (SELECT R.sid
  FROM Reserves R
  WHERE R.sid=S.sid
  AND EXISTS
    (SELECT B.bid
    FROM Boat B
    WHERE B_bid = R_bid
    AND B.color <> 'red'))
```

Sailor (<u>sid</u>, sname, rating, age) Reserves (<u>sid, bid, day</u>) Boat (<u>bid</u>, bname, color)





They can have reserved <u>D or more</u> <u>boats in red</u>, just no other color.

Equivalence with previous variant only because of FK-PK constraint!

{S.sname | ∃S∈Sailor.(∄R∈Reserves.(R.sid=S.sid ∧ ∃B∈Boat.(B.bid=R.bid ∧ B.color<>'red')))}

Sailor (<u>sid</u>, sname, rating, age) Reserves (<u>sid, bid, day</u>) Boat (<u>bid</u>, bname, color)





Q: **SELECT DISTINCT S.**sname FROM Sailor S WHERE NOT EXISTS (SELECT B.bid FROM Boat B WHERE B.color = '\red' AND NOT EXISTS (SELECT R.bid **FROM** Reserves R WHERE R.bid = B_bid AND R.sid = S.sid)

{S.sname | ∃S∈Sailor.(∄B∈Boat.(B.color='red' ∧ ∄R∈Reserves.(B.bid=R.bid ∧ R.sid=S.sid)))} Wolfgang Gatterbauer. Principles of scalable data management: <u>https://northeastern-datalab.github.io/cs7240/</u>
Nested query 5

Sailor (<u>sid</u>, sname, rating, age) Reserves (<u>sid, bid, day</u>) Boat (<u>bid</u>, bname, color)



= Find sailors who have reserved all red boats

Q: Find sailors so there is no red boat that is not reserved by the sailor.

```
SELECT DISTINCT S. sname
FROM Sailor S
WHERE NOT EXISTS
  (SELECT B.bid
  FROM Boat B
  WHERE B.color =
                   '\red'
  AND NOT EXISTS
    (SELECT R.bid
    FROM Reserves
                   R
    WHERE R.bid = B.bid
    AND R.sid = S.sid))
```



I don't know of a way to write that query with IN instead of EXISTS and without an explicit cross product between sailors and red boats. (More on that in a moment and also later when we discuss this query in relational algebra.)

{S.sname | ∃S∈Sailor.(∄B∈Boat.(B.color='red' ∧ ∄R∈Reserves.(B.bid=R.bid ∧ R.sid=S.sid)))}

Wolfgang Gatterbauer. Principles of scalable data management: <u>https://northeastern-datalab.github.io/cs7240/</u>

Nested query 5 (universal)

Sailor (<u>sid</u>, sname, rating, age) Reserves (<u>sid, bid, day</u>) Boat (<u>bid</u>, bname, color)



= Find sailors who have reserved all red boats

Q: Find sailors so there is no red boat that is not reserved by the sailor.

```
SELECT DISTINCT S.sname
FROM Sailor S
WHERE NOT EXISTS
  (SELECT B.bid
  FROM Boat B
  WHERE B.color =
                   '\red'
  AND NOT EXISTS
    (SELECT R.bid
    FROM Reserves
                   R
    WHERE R.bid = B_bid
    AND R.sid = S.sid))
```



I don't know of a way to write that query with IN instead of EXISTS and without an explicit cross product between sailors and red boats. (More on that in a moment and also later when we discuss this query in relational algebra.)

 $\{S.sname \mid \exists S \in Sailor.(\forall B \in Boat.(B.color='red' \rightarrow \exists R \in Reserves.(B.bid=R.bid \land R.sid=S.sid)))\} \\ \{S.sname \mid \exists S \in Sailor.(\nexists B \in Boat.(B.color='red' \land \nexists R \in Reserves.(B.bid=R.bid \land R.sid=S.sid)))\} \\ \forall Olfgang Gatterbauer. Principles of scalable data management: https://northeastern-datalab.github.io/cs7240/$

Nested query 5 (w/o correlation)

Sailor (<u>sid</u>, sname, rating, age) Reserves (<u>sid, bid, day</u>) Boat (<u>bid</u>, bname, color)



= Find sailors who have reserved all red boats

Q: Find sailors so there is no red boat that is not reserved by the sailor.



 $\{S.sname \mid \exists S \in Sailor. (\forall S2 \in Sailor, \forall B \in Boat. (B.color='red' \land S2.sid=S.sid \rightarrow \exists R \in Reserves. (B.bid=R.bid \land R.sid=S2.sid)))\} \\ \{S.sname \mid \exists S \in Sailor. (\nexists S2 \in Sailor, \nexists B \in Boat. (B.color='red' \land S2.sid=S.sid \land \nexists R \in Reserves. (B.bid=R.bid \land R.sid=S.sid)))\} \\ Wolfgang Gatterbauer. Principles of scalable data management: https://northeastern-datalab.github.io/cs7240/ 159$

Nested query 5 (w/o correlation)

Sailor (<u>sid</u>, sname, rating, age) Reserves (<u>sid, bid, day</u>) Boat (<u>bid</u>, bname, color)



= Find sailors who have reserved all red boats

Q: Find sailors so there is no red boat that is not reserved by the sailor.



 $\{S.sname \mid \exists S \in Sailor. (\forall S2 \in Sailor, \forall B \in Boat. (B.color='red' \land S2.sid=S.sid \rightarrow \exists R \in Reserves. (B.bid=R.bid \land R.sid=S2.sid)))\} \\ \{S.sname \mid \exists S \in Sailor. (\nexists S2 \in Sailor, \nexists B \in Boat. (B.color='red' \land S2.sid=S.sid \land \nexists R \in Reserves. (B.bid=R.bid \land R.sid=S.sid)))\} \\ Wolfgang Gatterbauer. Principles of scalable data management: https://northeastern-datalab.github.io/cs7240/ 160$

Towards SQL patterns

Sailor (<u>sid</u>, sname, rating, age) Reserves (<u>sid</u>, <u>bid</u>, <u>day</u>) Boat (<u>bid</u>, bname, color)

| | Sailors who have not reserved a red boat | Sailors who reserved only red boats | Sailors who reserved all red boats |
|-----|---|--|--|
| SQL | <pre>SELECT DISTINCT S.sname FROM Sailor S WHERE NOT EXISTS(SELECT * FROM Reserves R, Boat B WHERE R.sid = S.sid AND R.bid = B.bid AND B.color = 'red')</pre> | <pre>SELECT DISTINCT S.sname FROM Sailor S WHERE NOT EXISTS(SELECT * FROM Reserves R WHERE R.sid = S.sid AND NOT EXISTS(SELECT * FROM Boat B WHERE R.bid = B.bid AND B.color = 'red'))</pre> | <pre>SELECT DISTINCT S.sname FROM Sailor S WHERE NOT EXISTS(SELECT * FROM Boat B WHERE B.color = 'red' AND NOT EXISTS(SELECT * FROM Reserves R WHERE R.bid = B.bid AND R.sid = S.sid))</pre> |

Towards SQL patterns

Sailor (<u>sid</u>, sname, rating, age) Reserves (<u>sid</u>, <u>bid</u>, <u>day</u>) Boat (<u>bid</u>, bname, color)

| | Sailors who have not reserved a red boat | Sailors who reserved only red boats | Sailors who reserved all red boats |
|-----|---|--|--|
| SQL | <pre>SELECT DISTINCT S.sname FROM Sailor S WHERE NOT EXISTS(SELECT * FROM Reserves R, Boat B WHERE R.sid = S.sid AND R.bid = B.bid AND B.color = 'red')</pre> | <pre>SELECT DISTINCT S.sname FROM Sailor S WHERE NOT EXISTS(SELECT * FROM Reserves R WHERE R.sid = S.sid AND NOT EXISTS(SELECT * FROM Boat B WHERE R.bid = B.bid AND B.color = 'red'))</pre> | <pre>SELECT DISTINCT S.sname FROM Sailor S WHERE NOT EXISTS(SELECT * FROM Boat B WHERE B.color = 'red' AND NOT EXISTS(SELECT * FROM Reserves R WHERE R.bid = B.bid AND R.sid = S.sid))</pre> |
| RD | SELECT Sailor Reserves Boat sname sname bid bid sid sid color = 'red' | SELECT Sailor sname sname sid sid | SELECT Sailor sname sname bid bid sid sid sid color = 'red' |

Wolfgang Gatterbauer. Principles of scalable data management: <u>https://northeastern-datalab.github.io/cs7240/</u>

Sailor (<u>sid</u>, sname, rating, age) Reserves (<u>sid, bid, day</u>) Boat (<u>bid</u>, bname, color)

| | not | only | all |
|----------------------|---|----------------------------|---------------------------|
| Sailo rent boa | ors have not reserved ing a red boat ts | reserved only red boats | reserved all red boats |

| Sailor (<u>sid</u> , sname, rating, age) | Student (<u>sid</u> , sname) |
|---|--|
| Reserves (<u>sid, bid, day</u>) | Takes (<u>sid, cid, semester</u>) |
| Boat (<u>bid</u> , bname, color) | Course (<u>cid</u> , cname, department) |

| | not | only | all |
|----------------------|---|----------------------------|---------------------------|
| Sailo rent boa | ors have not reserved ing a red boat ts | reserved only red boats | reserved all red boats |
| Stuc taki clas | dents ng class ses | took only art classes | took all art classes |

| Sailor (<u>sid</u> , sname, rating, age) | Student (<u>sid</u> , sname) | Actor (<u>aid</u> , aname) |
|---|--|---------------------------------------|
| Reserves (<u>sid, bid, day</u>) | Takes (<u>sid, cid, semester</u>) | Plays (<u>aid, mid, role</u>) |
| Boat (<u>bid</u> , bname, color) | Course (<u>cid</u> , cname, department) | Movie (<u>mid</u> , mname, director) |

| | not | | only | all | |
|--------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|
| Sailo rent boa | ors ting ts | have not reserved a red boat | reserved only red boats | reserved all red boats | |
| Students taking classes | | took no art class | took only art classes | took all art classes | |
| Actors playing in movies | | did not play in a Hitchcock movie | played only Hitchcock movies | played in all Hitchcock movies | |

Wolfgang Gatterbauer. Principles of scalable data management: <u>https://northeastern-datalab.github.io/cs7240/</u>

Sailor (sid, sname, rating, age)
Reserves (sid, bid, day)
Boat (bid, bname, color)Student (sid, sname)
Takes (sid, cid, semester)
Course (cid, cname, department)Actor (aid, aname)
Plays (aid, mid, role)
Movie (mid, mname, director)

| | not | only | all |
|----------|---|---|--|
| Sailors | SELECT DISTINCT S.sname FROM Sailor S WHERE NOT EXISTS(SELECT * FROM Reserves R, Boat B WHERE R.sid = S.sid AND R.bid = B.bid AND B.color = 'red') | SELECT DISTINCT S.sname FROM Sailor S WHERE NOT EXISTS(SELECT * FROM Reserves R WHERE R.sid = S.sid AND NOT EXISTS(SELECT * FROM Boat B WHERE R.bid = B.bid AND B.color = 'red')) | SELECT DISTINCT S.sname FROM Sailor S WHERE NOT EXISTS(SELECT * FROM Boat B WHERE B.color = 'red' AND NOT EXISTS(SELECT * FROM Reserves R WHERE R.bid = B.bid AND R.sid = S.sid)) |
| Students | SELECT DISTINCT S.sname FROM Student S WHERE NOT EXISTS(SELECT * FROM Takes T, Class C WHERE T.sid = S.sid AND T.cid = C.bid AND C.department = art') | <pre>SELECT DISTINCT S.sname FROM Student S WHERE NOT EXISTS(SELECT * FROM Takes T WHERE T.sid = S.sid AND NOT EXISTS(SELECT * FROM Class C WHERE T.cid = C.cid AND C.department= 'art'))</pre> | <pre>SELECT DISTINCT S.sname FROM Student S WHERE NOT EXISTS(SELECT * FROM Class C WHERE C.department = 'art' AND NOT EXISTS(SELECT * FROM Takes T WHERE T.cid = C.cid AND T.sid = S.sid))</pre> |
| Actors | SELECT DISTINCT A.aname FROM Actor A WHERE NOT EXISTS(SELECT * FROM Plays P, Movie M WHERE P.aid = A.aid AND P.mid = M.mid AND M.director= 'Hitchcock') | SELECT DISTINCT A.aname FROM Actor A WHERE NOT EXISTS(SELECT * FROM Plays P WHERE P.aid = A.sid AND NOT EXISTS(SELECT * FROM Movie M WHERE P.mid = M.mid AND M.director= 'Hitchcock')) | SELECT DISTINCT A.aname FROM Actor A WHERE NOT EXISTS(SELECT * FROM Movie M WHERE M.director= 'Hitchcock' AND NOT EXISTS(SELECT * FROM Plays P WHERE P.mid = M.mid AND P.aid = A.aid)) |

Wolfgang Gatterbauer. Principles of scalable data management: https://northeastern-datalab.github.io/cs7240/





Logical SQL Patterns

Logical patterns are the building blocks of most SQL queries.

Patterns are very hard to extract from the SQL text.

A pattern can appear across different database schemas.

Think of queries like:

- Find sailors who reserved all red boats
- Find students who took all art classes
- Find actors who played in all movies by Hitchcock

For a formal definition of relational query patterns see: Gatterbauer, Dunne. On the Reasonable Effectiveness of Relational Diagrams: Explaining Relational Query Patterns and the Pattern Expressiveness of Relational Languages, SIGMOD 2024, <u>https://arxiv.org/pdf/2401.04758</u>, <u>https://relationaldiagrams.com</u> Wolfgang Gatterbauer. Principles of scalable data management: <u>https://northeastern-datalab.github.io/cs7240/</u>

what does this query return?

Likes(drinker, beer)

SELECT L1.drinker FROM Likes L1 WHERE not exists (SELECT * FROM Likes L2 WHERE L1.drinker <> L2.drinker AND not exists (SELECT * FROM Likes L3 WHERE L3.drinker = L2.drinker AND not exists (SELECT * FROM Likes L4 WHERE L4.drinker = L1.drinker AND L4.beer = L3.beer) AND not exists (SELECT * FROM Likes L5 WHERE L5. drinker = L1. drinker AND not exists (SELECT * FROM Likes L6 WHERE L6.drinker = L2.drinkerAND L6.beer= L5.beer)))

what does this query return?

Likes(drinker, beer)



Wolfgang Gatterbauer. Principles of scalable data management: <u>https://northeastern-datalab.github.io/cs7240/</u>

Likes(drinker,beer)

SELECT L1.drinker **FROM** Likes L1 WHERE not exists (SELECT * FROM Likes L2 WHERE L1.drinker <> L2.drinker AND not exists (SELECT * FROM Likes L3 WHERE L3.drinker = L2.drinker AND not exists (SELECT * FROM Likes L4 WHERE L4.drinker = L1.drinker AND L4.beer = L3.beer) AND not exists (SELECT * FROM Likes L5 WHERE L5. drinker = L1. drinker AND not exists (SELECT * FROM Likes L6 WHERE L6.drinker = L2.drinker AND L6.beer= L5.beer)))



Likes(drinker,beer)

SELECT L1.drinker **FROM** Likes L1 WHERE not exists (SELECT * FROM Likes L2 WHERE L1.drinker <> L2.drinker AND not exists (SELECT * FROM Likes L3 WHERE L3.drinker = L2.drinker AND not exists (SELECT * FROM Likes L4 WHERE L4.drinker = L1.drinker AND L4.beer = L3.beer) AND not exists (SELECT * FROM Likes L5 WHERE L5. drinker = L1. drinker AND not exists (SELECT * FROM Likes L6 WHERE L6.drinker = L2.drinker AND L6.beer= L5.beer)))



Relational Diagrams scoping

Wolfgang Gatterbauer. Principles of scalable data management: <u>https://northeastern-datalab.github.io/cs7240/</u>

Likes(drinker,beer)

SELECT L1.drinker **FROM** Likes L1 WHERE not exists (SELECT * FROM Likes L2 WHERE L1.drinker <> L2.drinker AND not exists (SELECT * FROM Likes L3 WHERE L3.drinker = L2.drinker AND not exists (SELECT * FROM Likes L4 WHERE L4.drinker = L1.drinker AND L4.beer = L3.beer) AND not exists (SELECT * FROM Likes L5 WHERE L5. drinker = L1. drinker AND not exists (SELECT * FROM Likes L6 WHERE L6.drinker = L2.drinker AND L6.beer= L5.beer)))



Likes(drinker,beer)



Wolfgang Gatterbauer. Principles of scalable data management: https://northeastern-datalab.github.io/cs7240/

Likes(drinker, beer)

Likes

beer

drinker

Likes

drinker

beer





Source: Danaparamita, Gatterbauer: QueryViz: Helping users understand SQL queries and their patterns. EDBT 2011. <u>https://doi.org/10.14778/3402755.3402805</u> See also: Gatterbauer, Dunne, Jagadish, Riedewald: Principles of Query Visualization. IEEE Debull 2023. <u>http://sites.computer.org/debull/A22sept/p47.pdf</u> Wolfgang Gatterbauer. Principles of scalable data management: <u>https://northeastern-datalab.github.io/cs7240/</u>

Preregistered, randomized user study on AMT

n = 50 participants, preregistration: <u>https://osf.io/4zpsk</u>



Accuracy



Source: Gatterbauer, Dunne. On the Reasonable Effectiveness of Relational Diagrams: Explaining Relational Query Patterns and the Pattern Expressiveness of Relational Languages, SIGMOD 2024, https://arxiv.org/pdf/2401.04758, Wolfgang Gatterbauer. Principles of scalable data management: https://arxiv.org/pdf/2401.04758, 179

Preregistered, randomized user study on AMT

n = 50 participants, preregistration: <u>https://osf.io/4zpsk</u>



Learning

Source: Gatterbauer, Dunne. On the Reasonable Effectiveness of Relational Diagrams: Explaining Relational Query Patterns and the Pattern Expressiveness of Relational Languages, SIGMOD 2024, https://arxiv.org/pdf/2401.04758, Wolfgang Gatterbauer. Principles of scalable data management: https://northeastern-datalab.github.io/cs7240/

Outline: T1-U1: SQL

• SQL

- Schema, keys, referential integrity
- Joins
- Aggregates and grouping
- Nested queries (Subqueries)
- Union and Theta Joins
- Nulls & Outer joins
- Top-k
- [Recursion: moved to T1-U4: Datalog]

Union



| R | U |
|---|---|
| а | а |
| 1 | 2 |
| 2 | 3 |
| | 4 |



Union uses set semantics



а

1

2

What if we wanted also the duplicates



Union ALL uses bag semantics

U 3 a 2 3

R

а

1

2

4

SELECT a FROM R UNION ALL SELECT a FROM U



Theta joins

What do these queries compute?







A **Theta-join** allows for arbitrary comparison relationships (such as \geq). An **equijoin** is a theta join using the equality operator.

Theta joins

What do these queries compute?



A **Theta-join** allows for arbitrary comparison relationships (such as \geq). An **equijoin** is a theta join using the equality operator.

SQL example available at: <u>https://github.com/northeastern-datalab/cs3200-activities/tree/master/sql</u> Wolfgang Gatterbauer. Principles of scalable data management: <u>https://northeastern-datalab.github.io/cs7240/</u>



R

а

1

2

Theta joins

What do these queries compute?







Think about these two queries as a partition of the Cartesian product

A **Theta-join** allows for arbitrary comparison relationships (such as \geq). An **equijoin** is a theta join using the equality operator.

SQL example available at: <u>https://github.com/northeastern-datalab/cs3200-activities/tree/master/sql</u> Wolfgang Gatterbauer. Principles of scalable data management: <u>https://northeastern-datalab.github.io/cs7240/</u>

Outline: T1-U1: SQL

• SQL

- Schema, keys, referential integrity
- Joins
- Aggregates and grouping
- Nested queries (Subqueries)
- Union and Theta Joins
- Nulls & Outer joins
- Top-k
- [Recursion: moved to T1-U4: Datalog]

3-valued logic example

- Three logicians walk into a bar. The bartender asks: "Do all of you want a drink?"
- The 1st logician says: "I don't know."
- The 2nd logician says: "I don't know."
- The 3rd logician says: "Yes!"



Nulls in SQL

- Whenever we don't have a value, we can put a NULL
- Can mean many things, e.g.:

Nulls in SQL

- Whenever we don't have a value, we can put a NULL
- Can mean many things, e.g.:
 - Value exists but is unknown
 - Value not applicable (yet)

A new class without a grade

| Student Class | | Semester | grade |
|---------------|--------|-------------|-------|
| Alice | cs3200 | Fall 2023 | B+ |
| Bob | cs3200 | Spring 2024 | null |

- The schema specifies for each attribute if it can be NULL (nullable attribute) or not ("NOT NULL")
- Lots of ongoing research on NULLs
- Next: How does SQL cope with tables that have NULLs ?

Null Values

- In SQL there are three Boolean values ("ternary logic")
 - FALSE, TRUE, UNKNOWN
- If x = NULL then
 - Boolean conditions are also NULL. E.g: x = 'Joe'
 - Arithmetic operations produce NULL. E.g: 4*(3-x)/7
 - But aggregates ignore NULL values (exception: count(*))
- Logical reasoning:
 - FALSE = 0
 - TRUE = 1
 - UNKNOWN = 0.5

 $x \text{ AND } y = \min(x,y)$ $x \text{ OR } y = \max(x,y)$ NOT x = (1 - x) $y = \max(x,y)$ $y = \max(x,y)$

Wolfgang Gatterbauer. Principles of scalable data management: <u>https://northeastern-datalab.github.io/cs7240/</u>

Join Illustration



An "inner join":

SELECT *FROMEnglish, FrenchWHEREeid = fid

Same as (alternative join syntax):



| etext | eid | fid | ftext |
|-------|-----|-----|--------|
| One | 1 | 1 | Un |
| Three | 3 | 3 | Trois |
| Four | 4 | 4 | Quatre |
| Five | 5 | 5 | Cinq |
| Six | 6 | 6 | Siz |

shortform of "INNER JOIN"





FROM

ON



SQL example available at: https://github.com/northeastern-datalab/cs3200-activities/tree/master/sql Wolfgang Gatterbauer. Principles of scalable data management: https://northeastern-datalab.github.io/cs7240/


SQL example available at: https://github.com/northeastern-datalab/cs3200-activities/tree/master/sql Wolfgang Gatterbauer. Principles of scalable data management: https://northeastern-datalab.github.io/cs7240/

Join Illustration



| SELECT | * |
|--------|--------------------------|
| FROM | English LEFT JOIN French |
| ON | English.eid = French.fid |

| etext | eid | fid | ftext |
|-------|-----|------|--------|
| One | 1 | 1 | Un |
| Two | 2 | NULL | NULL |
| Three | 3 | 3 | Trois |
| Four | 4 | 4 | Quatre |
| Five | 5 | 5 | Cinq |
| Six | 6 | 6 | Siz |







Source: Fig. 7-2, Hoffer et al., Modern Database Management, 10ed ed, 2011. Wolfgang Gatterbauer. Principles of scalable data management: <u>https://northeastern-datalab.github.io/cs7240/</u>



Detailed Illustration with Examples (follow the link)





SELECT <select_list>
FROM L
LEFT JOIN R
ON L.key = R.key
WHERE R.key IS NULL





Results





SELECT <select_list>
FROM L
LEFT JOIN R
ON L.key = R.key
WHERE R.key IS NULL



How to write in SQL?

eText Two

Results



eid

2



SELECT <select_list>
FROM L
LEFT JOIN R
ON L.key = R.key
WHERE R.key IS NULL



| Results | |
|---------|--|
| | |



How to write in SQL?
SELECT eText, eid
FROM English
LEFT JOIN French
ON eid = fid
WHERE fid IS NULL

Any alternative?

?



SELECT <select_list>
FROM L
LEFT JOIN R
ON L.key = R.key
WHERE R.key IS NULL





| eText | <u>eid</u> |
|-------|------------|
| Two | 2 |

How to write in SQL?
SELECT eText, eid
FROM English
LEFT JOIN French
ON eid = fid
WHERE fid IS NULL

Any alternative? SELECT * FROM English WHERE eid NOT IN (SELECT fid FROM French)

What do we have to change to these queries to get the <u>tuples</u> in English <u>that have</u> a partner in French?

?





Results

| eText | <u>eid</u> |
|-------|------------|
| One | 1 |
| Three | 3 |
| Four | 4 |
| Five | 5 |
| Six | 6 |

SELECT eText, eid
FROM English
LEFT JOIN French
ON eid = fid
WHERE fid IS NULL

SELECT *
FROM English
WHERE eid NOT IN
 (SELECT fid
 FROM French)

What do we have to change to these queries to get the <u>tuples</u> in English <u>that have</u> a partner in French?

what if fid is not a key?





eTexteidOne1Three3Four4Five5Six6

SELECT eText, eid
FROM English
LEFT JOIN French
ON eid = fid
WHERE fid IS NOT NULL

SELECT *
FROM English
WHERE eid IN
 (SELECT fid
 FROM French)

English

What do we have to change to these queries to get the tuples in English that have a partner in French?

what if fid is not a key?



French



Results

| eText | <u>eid</u> |
|-------|------------|
| One | 1 |
| Three | 3 |
| Four | 4 |
| Five | 5 |
| Six | 6 |

DISTINCTThese queries express "semi-joins"SELECT eText, eidSELECT *FROM EnglishFROM EnglishLEFT JOIN FrenchWHERE eid INON eid = fid(SELECT fidWHERE fid IS NOT NULLFROM French)



which of these two VENN diagrams from earlier correspond to a semi-join?



ON A.Key = B.Key



SELECT <select_list> FROM TableA A INNER JOIN TableB B ON A.Key = B.Key

Get the tuples in English that have a partner in French?





which of these two VENN diagrams from earlier correspond to a semi-join?









Only preserve tuples from A that also appear in B...



Another look at Outer Joins

SELECT *

| | • |
|------|-------------------------------------|
| FROM | English FULL JOIN French |
| N | <pre>English.eid = French.fid</pre> |



361

FULL JOIN can be written as union of inner join with anti-joins

?

| | | | - |
|-------|------|------|--------|
| etext | eid | fid | ftext |
| One | 1 | 1 | Un |
| Two | 2 | NULL | NULL |
| Three | 3 | 3 | Trois |
| Four | 4 | 4 | Quatre |
| Five | 5 | 5 | Cinq |
| Six | 6 | 6 | Siz |
| NULL | NULL | 7 | Sept |
| NULL | NULL | 8 | Huit |

Another look at Outer Joins

SELECT *
FROM English FULL JOIN French
ON English.eid = French.fid

| SELECT | etext,eid, fid, ftext | |
|-----------|-------------------------------------|-------------|
| FROM | English INNER JOIN French | |
| ON | <pre>English.eid = French.fid</pre> | 5 |
| UNION ALL | _ | |
| SELECT | etext, eid, NULL, NULL | |
| FROM | English | |
| WHERE | NOT EXISTS(| - anti-join |
| | SELECT * | |
| | FROM French | |
| | WHERE eid=fid) | |
| UNION ALL | _ | |
| SELECT | NULL, NULL, fid, ftext | |
| FROM | French | |
| WHERE | NOT EXISTS(| |
| | SELECT * | |
| | FROM English | |
| | WHERE eid=fid) | |



| etext | eid | fid | ftext |
|-------|------|------|--------|
| One | 1 | 1 | Un |
| Two | 2 | NULL | NULL |
| Three | 3 | 3 | Trois |
| Four | 4 | 4 | Quatre |
| Five | 5 | 5 | Cinq |
| Six | 6 | 6 | Siz |
| NULL | NULL | 7 | Sept |
| NULL | NULL | 8 | Huit |

SQL example available at: https://github.com/northeastern-datalab/cs3200-activities/tree/master/sql Wolfgang Gatterbauer. Principles of scalable data management: https://northeastern-datalab.github.io/cs7240/

Are these two queries equivalent?

 Q_2 : Find all companies that make <u>only</u> products with price < <u>25</u>

SELECT DISTINCT C.cname FROM Company C WHERE C.cid NOT IN (SELECT P.cid FROM Product P WHERE P.price >= 25)

Is the following query identical to the one above

SELECT C.name FROM Company C, Product P WHERE C.cid=P.cid GROUP BY cname HAVING MAX(P.price) < 25





Are these two queries equivalent?

 Q_2 : Find all companies that make <u>only</u> products with price < <u>25</u>

SELECT DISTINCT C.cnameFROMCompany CWHEREC.cid NOT IN (SELECT P.cid
FROM Product P
WHERE P.price >= 25)

Is the following query identical to the one above?

SELECT C.name FROM Company C, Product P WHERE C.cid=P.cid GROUP BY cname HAVING MAX(P.price) < 25 Almost, but not really. The upper query would return a company that has no product, the one below would not.



Outer Joins, Coalesce, and non-associativity

Wolfgang Gatterbauer. Principles of scalable data management: <u>https://northeastern-datalab.github.io/cs7240/</u>

Coalesce function

Ν

а

2

3



M a 1 2



COALESCE: takes first non-NULL value, (N N 9 8)SELECT COALESCE(1, NULL) SELECT COALESCE(NULL, 3) SELECT COALESCE(1, 2) ?

SELECT COALESCE(NULL, NULL)

SQL example available at: <u>https://github.com/northeastern-datalab/cs3200-activities/tree/master/sql</u> Also see use of COALESCE across programming languages: <u>https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Null_coalescing_operator</u> Wolfgang Gatterbauer. Principles of scalable data management: <u>https://northeastern-datalab.github.io/cs7240/</u>

Coalesce function



M a 1 2



SELECT M.a, N.a, COALESCE(M.a, N.a) as b
FROM M
FULL JOIN N
ON M.a = N.a

COALESCE: takes first non-NULL value, C(x,y,z)=C(x,C(y,z))=C(C(x,y),z)





SQL example available at: <u>https://github.com/northeastern-datalab/cs3200-activities/tree/master/sql</u> Also see use of COALESCE across programming languages: <u>https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Null_coalescing_operator</u> Wolfgang Gatterbauer. Principles of scalable data management: <u>https://northeastern-datalab.github.io/cs7240/</u>

Coalesce function



M a 1

2





Result





SQL example available at: <u>https://github.com/northeastern-datalab/cs3200-activities/tree/master/sql</u> Also see use of COALESCE across programming languages: <u>https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Null_coalescing_operator</u>

Wolfgang Gatterbauer. Principles of scalable data management: https://northeastern-datalab.github.io/cs7240/

Coalesce, Natural Outer Join, Union







SELECT * FROM M NATURAL FULL JOIN N



Natural full join models "coalesce"

Join vs. Union – it is actually the same: Union is a special case of a join \bigcirc (under set semantics)

Do we need "union"?



4

R

а

1

2



Do we need "union"?



Multiplication

Matrix multiplication



Multiplication

Matrix multiplication

$$\begin{bmatrix} 3 & \bullet & 2 \end{bmatrix} \bullet & 4 = 24$$







Multiplication

Matrix multiplication

$$\begin{bmatrix} 3 & \bullet & 2 \end{bmatrix} \bullet & 4 = 24$$



Order of <u>operations</u> can be exchanged: $3 \cdot 2 \cdot 4 = 24$ Multiplication is associative SOrder of <u>operands</u> can be exchanged: $4 \cdot 2$ and commutative S

Multiplication

Matrix multiplication



It turns out this is mainly a problem of syntax, not semantics. Einstein notation (and similar more recent extensions like "EINSUM") solves that. See e.g. Laue et al. *A Simple and Efficient Tensor Calculus*. AAAI 2020. <u>https://arxiv.org/abs/2010.03313</u>. Alternatively, think about the relational join operator as a commutative notation for sparse matrix multiplication (also Cartesian product under named or unnamed perspective)

Wolfgang Gatterbauer. Principles of scalable data management: https://northeastern-datalab.github.io/cs7240/

The power of associativity



which option would you choose to evaluate this matrix multiplication ?

The power of associativity



All variants give the same result. But some are faster. Intuition: we like to have small intermediate result sizes!

Matrix chain multiplication

Given n matrices, what is the optimal sequence to multiply them??



This is an example optimal factorization. ? What is its cost?

See also <u>https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Catalan_number</u>, <u>https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Matrix_chain_multiplication</u>, <u>https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Matrix_multiplication#Associativity</u> Source figure: <u>https://bruceoutdoors.wordpress.com/2015/11/24/matrix-chain-multiplication-with-c-code-part-3-extracting-the-sequence/</u> Wolfgang Gatterbauer. Principles of scalable data management: <u>https://northeastern-datalab.github.io/cs7240/</u>

Matrix chain multiplication

Given n matrices, what is the optimal sequence to multiply them?



This is an example optimal factorization.? What is its cost?

233

 $\mathsf{MinCost}: (30^*35^*5 + (35^*15^*5)) + 30^*5^*25 + (5^*10^*20) + 5^*20^*25)$

Nave method: all possible way to place closed parentheses: "Catalan numbers"

Via Dynamic programming: $O(n^3)$

Best known: O(n log n)

 C_n is the number of different ways n + 1 factors can be completely parenthesized (or the number of ways of associating *n* applications of a binary operator, as in the matrix chain multiplication problem). For n = 3, for example, we have the following five different parenthesizations of four factors:

((ab)c)d (a(bc))d (ab)(cd) a((bc)d) a(b(cd))

See also https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Matrix_chain_multiplication, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Matrix_multiplication#Associativity Source figure: https://bruceoutdoors.wordpress.com/2015/11/24/matrix-chain-multiplication-with-c-code-part-3-extracting-the-sequence/ Wolfgang Gatterbauer. Principles of scalable data management: https://northeastern-datalab.github.io/cs7240/

Commutativity & Associativity_R

Outer joins





SELECT A, B, C FROM R NATURAL FULL JOIN (S NATURAL FULL JOIN T)






















Thus outer joins are not associative! (but they are commutative)

SQL example available at: <u>https://github.com/northeastern-datalab/cs3200-activities/tree/master/sql</u> Wolfgang Gatterbauer. Principles of scalable data management: <u>https://northeastern-datalab.github.io/cs7240/</u> ResultABC12NULLNULL234NULL5



3

1

[null]

2

1

[null]

2

[null]

[null]

SQL example available at: <u>https://github.com/northeastern-datalab/cs3200-activities/tree/master/sql</u> Wolfgang Gatterbauer. Principles of scalable data management: <u>https://northeastern-datalab.github.io/cs7240/</u> [null]



| Climates | | | | |
|----------|-----------|--|--|--|
| Country | Climate | | | |
| Canada | diverse | | | |
| Bahamas | tropical | | | |
| UK | temperate | | | |

Accommodations Country City Hotel

| Country | | TIOLEI | Stars |
|---------|---------|--------|-------|
| Canada | Toronto | Plaza | 4 |
| Canada | London | Ramada | 3 |
| Bahamas | Nassau | Hilton | |

| Sites | | | | |
|---------|--------|-------------|--|--|
| Country | City | Site | | |
| Canada | London | Air show | | |
| Canada | | Mount Logan | | |
| UK | London | Buckingham | | |
| UK | London | Hyde Park | | |

SELECT *
FROM (Accommodations
NATURAL FULL JOIN Climates)
NATURAL FULL JOIN Sites

Result

Store

SQL example available at: https://github.com/northeastern-datalab/cs3200-activities/tree/master/sql Example from: Cohen, Fadida, Kanza, Kimelfeld, Sagiv. "Full Disjunctions: Polynomial-Delay Iterators in Action", VLDB 2006. http://vldb.org/conf/2006/p739-cohen.pdf Example from: Cohen, Fadida, Kanza, Kimelfeld, Sagiv. "Full Disjunctions: Polynomial-Delay Iterators in Action", VLDB 2006. http://vldb.org/conf/2006/p739-cohen.pdf Wolfgang Gatterbauer. Principles of scalable data management: https://northeastern-datalab.github.io/cs7240/



| Climates | | | | |
|----------|-----------|--|--|--|
| Country | Climate | | | |
| Canada | diverse | | | |
| Bahamas | tropical | | | |
| UK | temperate | | | |

Accommodations City Country Hotel Stars Canada Toronto Plaza 4 3 Canada London Ramada Hilton Bahamas Nassau

| Sites | | | | |
|---------|--------|-------------|--|--|
| Country | City | Site | | |
| Canada | London | Air show | | |
| Canada | | Mount Logan | | |
| UK | London | Buckingham | | |
| UK | London | Hyde Park | | |

SELECT *
FROM (Accommodations
NATURAL FULL JOIN Climates)
NATURAL FULL JOIN Sites

Result

| Country | City | Climate | Hotel | Stars | Site |
|---------|---------|-----------|--------|-------|-------------|
| Canada | Toronto | diverse | Plaza | 4 | |
| Canada | London | diverse | Ramada | 3 | Air Show |
| Canada | | | | | Mount Logan |
| UK | London | | | | Buckingham |
| UK | London | | | | Hyde Park |
| UK | | temperate | | | |
| Bahamas | Nassau | Tropical | Hilton | | |

SQL example available at: https://github.com/northeastern-datalab/cs3200-activities/tree/master/sql



| Climates | | | |
|----------|------------|--|--|
| Country | Climate | | |
| Canada | diverse | | |
| Bahama | s tropical | | |
| UK | temperate | | |

| Accommodations | | | |
|----------------|---------|--------|-------|
| Country | City | Hotel | Stars |
| Canada | Toronto | Plaza | 4 |
| Canada | London | Ramada | 3 |
| Bahamas | Nassau | Hilton | |



SELECT *
FROM (Accommodations
NATURAL FULL JOIN Climates)
NATURAL FULL JOIN Sites

Result

| Country | City | Climate | Hotel | Stars | Site |
|---------|---------|-----------|--------|-------|-------------|
| Canada | Toronto | diverse | Plaza | 4 | |
| Canada | London | diverse | Ramada | 3 | Air Show |
| Canada | | | | | Mount Logan |
| UK | London | | | | Buckingham |
| UK | London | | | | Hyde Park |
| UK | | temperate | | | |
| Bahamas | Nassau | Tropical | Hilton | | |

SQL example available at: https://github.com/northeastern-datalab/cs3200-activities/tree/master/sql



| Climates | | |
|----------|-----------|--|
| Country | Climate | |
| Canada | diverse | |
| Bahamas | tropical | |
| UK | temperate | |
| | | |

| Accommodations | | | | |
|----------------|---------|--------|-------|--|
| Country | City | Hotel | Stars | |
| Canada | Toronto | Plaza | 4 | |
| Canada | London | Ramada | 3 | |
| Bahamas | Nassau | Hilton | | |
| | | | | |

| Sites | | | | |
|---------|--------|-------------|--|--|
| Country | City | Site | | |
| Canada | London | Air show | | |
| Canada | | Mount Logan | | |
| UK | London | Buckingham | | |
| UK | London | Hyde Park | | |

SELECT *
FROM (Accommodations
NATURAL FULL JOIN Climates)
NATURAL FULL JOIN Sites

Result

| Country | City | Climate | Hotel | Stars | Site |
|---------|---------|-----------|--------|-------|-------------|
| Canada | Toronto | diverse | Plaza | 4 | |
| Canada | London | diverse | Ramada | 3 | Air Show |
| Canada | | | | | Mount Logan |
| UK | London | | | | Buckingham |
| UK | London | | | | Hyde Park |
| UK | | temperate | | | |
| Bahamas | Nassau | Tropical | Hilton | | |

SQL example available at: https://github.com/northeastern-datalab/cs3200-activities/tree/master/sql



| Climates | | | | | | |
|----------|-----------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Country | Climate | | | | | |
| Canada | diverse | | | | | |
| Bahamas | tropical | | | | | |
| UK | temperate | | | | | |

| Accommodations | | | | | | |
|----------------|---------|--------|-------|--|--|--|
| Country | City | Hotel | Stars | | | |
| Canada | Toronto | Plaza | 4 | | | |
| Canada | London | Ramada | 3 | | | |
| Bahamas | Nassau | Hilton | | | | |



SELECT *
FROM Accommodations
NATURAL FULL JOIN (Climates
NATURAL FULL JOIN Sites)

Result

| Country | City | Climate | Hotel | Stars | Site |
|---------|---------|-----------|--------|-------|-------------|
| Canada | Toronto | | Plaza | 4 | |
| Canada | London | diverse | Ramada | 3 | Air Show |
| Canada | | diverse | | | Mount Logan |
| UK | London | temperate | | | Buckingham |
| UK | London | temperate | | | Hyde Park |
| Bahamas | | Tropical | | | |
| Bahamas | Nassau | | Hilton | | |

SQL example available at: https://github.com/northeastern-datalab/cs3200-activities/tree/master/sql



| Climates | | |
|----------|-----------|--|
| Country | Climate | |
| Canada | diverse | |
| Bahamas | tropical | |
| UK | temperate | |

| Accommodations | | | | | | | |
|----------------|---------|--------|-------|--|--|--|--|
| Country | City | Hotel | Stars | | | | |
| Canada | Toronto | Plaza | 4 | | | | |
| Canada | London | Ramada | 3 | | | | |
| Bahamas | Nassau | Hilton | | | | | |

| Sites | | | | | | |
|---------|--------|-------------|--|--|--|--|
| Country | City | Site | | | | |
| Canada | London | Air show | | | | |
| Canada | | Mount Logan | | | | |
| UK | London | Buckingham | | | | |
| UK | London | Hyde Park | | | | |

SELECT *
FROM Accommodations
NATURAL FULL JOIN (Climates
NATURAL FULL JOIN Sites)

Result

| Country | City | Climate | Hotel | Stars | Site |
|---------|---------|-----------|--------|-------|-------------|
| Canada | Toronto | | Plaza | 4 | |
| Canada | London | diverse | Ramada | 3 | Air Show |
| Canada | | diverse | | | Mount Logan |
| UK | London | temperate | | | Buckingham |
| UK | London | temperate | | | Hyde Park |
| Bahamas | | Tropical | | | |
| Bahamas | Nassau | | Hilton | | |

SQL example available at: https://github.com/northeastern-datalab/cs3200-activities/tree/master/sql

Full disjunction



| Climates | | |
|----------|-----------|--|
| Country | Climate | |
| Canada | diverse | |
| Bahamas | tropical | |
| UK | temperate | |

| Accommodations | | | | | | |
|----------------|---------|--------|-------|--|--|--|
| Country | City | Hotel | Stars | | | |
| Canada | Toronto | Plaza | 4 | | | |
| Canada | London | Ramada | 3 | | | |
| Bahamas | Nassau | Hilton | | | | |

| Sites | | | | | | | |
|---------|--------|-------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Country | City | Site | | | | | |
| Canada | London | Air show | | | | | |
| Canada | | Mount Logan | | | | | |
| UK | London | Buckingham | | | | | |
| UK | London | Hyde Park | | | | | |

SELECT *
FROM FULL DISJUNCTION(Climates,
(Accommodations, Sites)

FD: variation of the join operator that maximally combines join consistent tuples from connected relations, while <u>preserving</u> <u>all information in the relations</u>.

Not available in SQL! We may discuss later in class in more detail (or skip this year)

SQL example available at: <u>https://github.com/northeastern-datalab/cs3200-activities/tree/master/sql</u> Example from: Cohen, Fadida, Kanza, Kimelfeld, Sagiv. "Full Disjunctions: Polynomial-Delay Iterators in Action", VLDB 2006. <u>http://vldb.org/conf/2006/p739-cohen.pdf</u> Wolfgang Gatterbauer. Principles of scalable data management: <u>https://northeastern-datalab.github.io/cs7240/</u>

| Country | City | Climate | Hotel | Stars | Site |
|---------|---------|-----------|--------|-------|-------------|
| Canada | Toronto | diverse | Plaza | 4 | |
| Canada | London | diverse | Ramada | 3 | Air Show |
| Canada | | diverse | | | Mount Logan |
| UK | London | temperate | | | Buckingham |
| UK | London | temperate | | | Hyde Park |
| Bahamas | Nassau | tropical | Hilton | | |

Full disjunction: definition



| Climates | | | Accommodations | | | | |
|----------|---------|-----------|----------------|---------|---------|--------|-------|
| | Country | Climate | $+_{1}+_{2}$ | Country | City | Hotel | Stars |
| | Canada | diverse | | Canada | Toronto | Plaza | 4 |
| | Bahamas | tropical | | Canada | London | Ramada | 3 |
| | UK | temperate | +3 | Bahamas | Nassau | Hilton | |

Sites City Site Country Air show Canada London Canada Mount Logan UK Buckingham +1 London UK Hyde Park London

- Two tuples (max one from each relation) are <u>join consistent</u> if they agree on common attributes, e.g. t_1/t_2 , t_3/t_4 . A set of tuples is join consistent if every pair is join consistent.
- Set of tuples (max one from each relation) is <u>connected</u> if the schema is connected, thus share attributes
- A tuple is in the Full disjunction if it is the inner join from tuples that are connected, join consistent, and there is no superset with both conditions (related to "subsumption").

JUBSUMTION

Result

| | Country | City | Climate | Hotel | Stars | Site |
|---|---------|---------|-----------|--------|-------|-------------|
| | Canada | Toronto | diverse | Plaza | 4 | |
| | Canada | London | diverse | Ramada | 3 | Air Show |
| | Canada | | diverse | | | Mount Logan |
| | UK | London | temperate | | | Buckingham |
| | UK | London | temperate | | | Hyde Park |
| 1 | Bahamas | Nassau | tropical | Hilton | | |

SQL example available at: https://github.com/northeastern-datalab/cs3200-activities/tree/master/sql Example from: Cohen, Fadida, Kanza, Kimelfeld, Sagiv. "Full Disjunctions: Polynomial-Delay Iterators in Action", VLDB 2006. http://vldb.org/conf/2006/p739-cohen.pdf Wolfgang Gatterbauer. Principles of scalable data management: https://northeastern-datalab.github.io/cs7240/

R

Outline: T1-U1: SQL

• SQL

- Schema, keys, referential integrity
- Joins
- Aggregates and grouping
- Nested queries (Subqueries)
- Union and Theta Joins
- Nulls & Outer joins
- Top-k
- [Recursion: moved to T1-U4: Datalog]









| select | A, R.B, S.C, D |
|--------|---------------------|
| from | R, S, T |
| where | R.B=S.B and S.C=T.C |





How many results do we get?

?





| select | A, R.B, S.C, D |
|--------|---------------------|
| from | R, S, T |
| where | R.B=S.B and S.C=T.C |





How many results do we get?

?





from R, S, T where R.B=S.B and S.C=T.C



24 total results

B С D A

Result

SQL example available at: <u>https://github.com/northeastern-datalab/cs3200-activities/tree/master/sql</u> Wolfgang Gatterbauer. Principles of scalable data management: <u>https://northeastern-datalab.github.io/cs7240/</u>





select A, R.B, S.C, D, R.w + S.w + T.w as weight from R, S, T where R.B=S.B and S.C=T.C order by weight ASC





SQL example available at: <u>https://github.com/northeastern-datalab/cs3200-activities/tree/master/sql</u> Wolfgang Gatterbauer. Principles of scalable data management: <u>https://northeastern-datalab.github.io/cs7240/</u>



select A, R.B, S.C, D, R.w + S.w + T.w as weight from R, S, T where R.B=S.B and S.C=T.C order by weight ASC

Return all 24 results in order of sum of weights





SQL example available at: <u>https://github.com/northeastern-datalab/cs3200-activities/tree/master/sql</u> Wolfgang Gatterbauer. Principles of scalable data management: <u>https://northeastern-datalab.github.io/cs7240/</u>



select A, R.B, S.C, D, R.w + S.w + T.w as weight from R, S, T where R.B=S.B and S.C=T.C order by weight ASC limit 6

what do we get now?



| А | В | С | D | weight |
|---|---|---|---|--------|
| 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 17 |
| 2 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 18 |
| 3 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 19 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 26 |
| 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 27 |
| 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 28 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 28 |
| | | | | |



SQL example available at: <u>https://github.com/northeastern-datalab/cs3200-activities/tree/master/sql</u> Wolfgang Gatterbauer. Principles of scalable data management: <u>https://northeastern-datalab.github.io/cs7240/</u>



select A, R.B, S.C, D, R.w + S.w + T.w as weight from R, S, T where R.B=S.B and S.C=T.C order by weight ASC limit 6

what do we get now?

| , | А | В | С | D | weight |
|---|---|---|---|---|--------|
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 17 |
| | 2 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 18 |
| | 3 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 19 |
| | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 26 |
| | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 27 |
| 1 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 28 |
| | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 28 |
| | | | | | |





select A, R.B, S.C, R.w + S.w as weight from R, S where R.B=S.B order by weight ASC limit 1

what will this query return?



SQL example available at: https://github.com/northeastern-datalab/cs3200-activities/tree/master/sql Wolfgang Gatterbauer. Principles of scalable data management: https://northeastern-datalab.github.io/cs7240/





 $\begin{array}{ccc} & select & A, R.B, S.C, \\ & R.w + S.w as weight \\ & from & R, S \\ & where & R.B=S.B \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & &$



Can you see any possible problem of this query as n gets bigger?

SQL example available at: <u>https://github.com/northeastern-datalab/cs3200-activities/tree/master/sql</u> Wolfgang Gatterbauer. Principles of scalable data management: <u>https://northeastern-datalab.github.io/cs7240/</u>





 n^2 total results. But we are only interested in the top-1.

Problem: Database first calculates all n² results before sorting.

Question: is there any way to push the sorting behind the join?

SQL example available at: <u>https://github.com/northeastern-datalab/cs3200-activities/tree/master/sql</u> Wolfgang Gatterbauer. Principles of scalable data management: <u>https://northeastern-datalab.github.io/cs7240/</u> select A, R.B, S.C, R.w + S.w as weight from R, S where R.B=S.B order by weight ASC limit 1





what is the shortest path from s to t?



Wolfgang Gatterbauer. Principles of scalable data management: https://northeastern-datalab.github.io/cs7240/



what is the shortest path from s to t?

Answer: 5 = 3 + 2

min [a + d, a + e, a + f, a + g, ..., c + g] min[3+2, 3+4, 3+7, 3+8, ..., 6+8]

?

Principle of optimality from Dynamic Programming: *irrespective of the initial state and decision, an optimal solution continues optimally from the resulting state*

> min [a + d, a + e, a + f, a + g, ..., c + g] min[3+2, 3+4, 3+7, 3+8, ..., 6+8]

 $= \min[a, b, c] + \min[d, e, f, g]$ $\min[3,5,6] + \min[2,4,7,8]$

min[x,y]+z = min[(x+z), (y+z)](+ distributes over min)



what is the shortest path from s to t?

Answer: 5 = 3 + 2



How many paths are there from s to t?



Wolfgang Gatterbauer. Principles of scalable data management: https://northeastern-datalab.github.io/cs7240/



How many paths are there from s to t?

Answer: $12 = 3 \cdot 4$

The more general algebraic structure behind these two examples are "semirings" (much more on those later in class)

> count [a·d, a·e, a · f, a · g, ..., c · g] count[1.1, 1.1, 1.1, 1.1, ..., 1.1]12 = count [a, b, c] · count [d, e, f, g] $count[1,1,1] \cdot count[1,1,1]$

 $+[X,Y] \cdot z = +[X \cdot z,Y \cdot z]$ (· distributes over +)



How many paths are there from s to t?

Answer: $12 = 3 \cdot 4$







-- Query 2

SQL example available at: <u>https://github.com/northeastern-datalab/cs3200-activities/tree/master/sql</u> Wolfgang Gatterbauer. Principles of scalable data management: <u>https://northeastern-datalab.github.io/cs7240/</u>

n=1000:

n=5000:





Maximal intermediate result size is O(n) 🙂 what is this algorithm called?



-- Query 1 SELECT A, R.B, S.C, R.W + S.W as weight R, S R.B=S.B **ORDER BY** weight **ASC** 1;

n=1000:

n=5000;

 $t_{Q1} = 0.88 \text{ sec}$ $t_{01} = 18.6 \text{ sec}$

FROM

WHERE

LIMIT

-- Query 2 _____ SELECT R.A, X.B, S.C, X.W as weight FROM R, S, (**SELECT** T1.B, W1, W2, W1+W2 W FROM (SELECT B, MIN(W) W1 FROM R **GROUP BY** B) T1, (SELECT B, MIN(W) W2 FROM S **GROUP BY B) T2** WHERE T1.B = T2.BORDER BY W ASC LIMIT 1) X WHERE X.B = R.BAND X.W1 = R.WAND X.B = S.BAND X.W2 = S.W LIMIT 1; $t_{Q2}=2$ msec $t_{Q2}=8$ msec

SQL example available at: https://github.com/northeastern-datalab/cs3200-activities/tree/master/sql Wolfgang Gatterbauer. Principles of scalable data management: https://northeastern-datalab.github.io/cs7240/





Maximal intermediate result size is O(n) ☺ What is this algorithm called?

Dynamic programming

-- Query 1 SELECT A, R.B, S.C, R.W + S.W as weight FROM R, S WHERE R.B=S.B ORDER BY weight ASC LIMIT 1; O($+_{Q1}= 0.88$ sec $+_{Q1}=18.6$ sec -- Query 2 SELECT R.A, X.B, S.C, X.W as weight FROM R, S, (**SELECT** T1.B, W1, W2, W1+W2 W FROM (SELECT B, MIN(W) W1 FROM R **GROUP BY** B) T1, (SELECT B, MIN(W) W2 FROM S **GROUP BY B) T2** WHERE T1.B = T2.BORDER BY W ASC LIMIT 1) X WHERE X.B = R.BAND X.W1 = R.WAND X.B = S.BAND X.W2 = S.WLIMIT 1; $t_{Q2}=2$ msec $t_{Q2}=8$ msec

SQL example available at: <u>https://github.com/northeastern-datalab/cs3200-activities/tree/master/sql</u> Wolfgang Gatterbauer. Principles of scalable data management: <u>https://northeastern-datalab.github.io/cs7240/</u>

n=1000:

n=5000;

Any-k: Faster and more versatile than Top-k



Path query with constant size output and increasing query size

https://northeastern-datalab.github.io/anyk/

https://northeastern-datalab.github.io/topk-join-tutorial/

https://northeastern-datalab.github.io/responsive-dbms-tutorial/

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=KpUQayBuaQI&list=PL 72ERGKF6DR7kvGNwwjWlbpScKtGjt9R&index=2

Tziavelis, Ajwani, Gatterbauer, Riedewald, Yang. Optimal Algorithms for Ranked Enumeration of Answers to Full Conjunctive Queries. PVLDB 2020. https://doi.org/10.14778/3397230.3397250
Wolfgang Gatterbauer. Principles of scalable data management: https://doi.org/10.14778/3397230.3397250

Even Grouping Aggregates can be improved





| Query | 1 | |
|-----------|---------------------|----|
| SELECT | <pre>count(*)</pre> | Ст |
| INTO reco | ord1 | |
| FROM | R, S | |
| WHERE F | R.B=S.B; | |

| Query 2 |
|---|
| SELECT SUM(C) as CT INTO record2 FROM |
| (SELECT T1.B, C1, C2, C1*C2 C FROM |
| (SELECT B, COUNT(*) C1 FROM R |
| GROUP BY B) T1, (SELECT B, COUNT(*) C2 |
| FROM S |
| WHERE T1.B = T2.B) X: |

```
n=1000: t_{Q1}= 0.374 sec
n=5000: t_{Q1}= 10.021 sec
```

t_{Q2}=3 msec t_{Q2}=5 msec

SQL example available at: <u>https://github.com/northeastern-datalab/cs3200-activities/tree/master/sql</u> Wolfgang Gatterbauer. Principles of scalable data management: <u>https://northeastern-datalab.github.io/cs7240/</u>

Outline: T1-U1: SQL

• SQL

- Schema, keys, referential integrity
- Joins
- Aggregates and grouping
- Nested queries (Subqueries)
- Union and Theta Joins
- Nulls & Outer joins
- Top-k
- [Recursion: moved to T1-U4: Datalog]