Towards Resilient Machine Learning in Adversarial Environments

> Alina Oprea Associate Professor Northeastern University

> > February 12, 2020

# Network and Distributed System Security (NDS2) Lab

- Machine learning and AI for cybersecurity
  - Threat detection [Yen et al. 13], [Yen et al. 14], [Oprea et al. 15], [Li and Oprea 16], [Buyukkayhan et al. 17], [Oprea et al. 18], [Duan et al. 18], [Ongun et al. 19]
  - IoT security: [Ongun et al. 19]
  - Web security: [Jana and Oprea 19]
  - AI for cyber security games: [Oakley and Oprea 19]
- Adversarial machine learning and AI
  - Poisoning attacks and defenses [Liu et al. 17], [Jagielski et al. 18], [Jagielski et al. 19]
  - Attack transferability [Demontis et al. 19]
  - Evasion attacks for cyber security and connected cars [Chernikova et al. 19], [Chernikova and Oprea 19]
  - Fairness and Privacy [Jagielski et al. 19]

#### Al is Everywhere





# Fast Forward in the Near Future





AI Transportation in Cities of the Future (10-20 years)

# Fast Forward in the Near Future





Al Robots in Medicine of the Future (10-20 years)

# Implications for Cyber Security

- Al has potential in security applications
  - Complement traditional defenses
  - Design intelligent and adaptive defense algorithms
- ...But AI becomes a target of attack
  - Deep Neural Networks are not resilient to adversarial manipulations
    - [Szegedy et al. 13]: "Intriguing properties of neural networks"
  - Many critical real-world applications are vulnerable
  - New adversarially-resilient algorithms are needed!







### Supervised Learning: Classification



### Supervised Learning: Regression



## MADE: Detecting Malicious Web Domains



A. Oprea, Z. Li, R. Norris, K. Bowers.

MADE: Security Analytics for Enterprise Threat Detection. In ACSAC 2018.

#### Adversarial Machine Learning: Taxonomy

#### Attacker's Objective

	<b>Targeted</b> Target small set of points	Availability Target majority of points	<b>Privacy</b> Learn sensitive information
Training	Targeted Poisoning Backdoor Trojan Attacks	Poisoning Availability Model Poisoning	_
Testing	Evasion Attacks Adversarial Examples	_	Membership Inference Model Extraction

#### Adversarial Machine Learning: Taxonomy

#### Attacker's Objective

	<b>Targeted</b> Target small set of points	Availability Target majority of points	<b>Privacy</b> Learn sensitive information
Training	Targeted Poisoning Backdoor Trojan Attacks	Poisoning Availability Model Poisoning	-
Testing	Evasion Attacks Adversarial Examples	-	Membership Inference Model Extraction

#### **Evasion Attacks**



x "panda" 57.7% confidence



sign $(\nabla_x J(\theta, x, y))$ "nematode" 8.2% confidence



 $\begin{array}{c} x + \\ \epsilon \operatorname{sign}(\nabla_x J(\theta, x, y)) \\ \text{"gibbon"} \\ 99.3 \% \text{ confidence} \\ Adversarial \end{array}$ 

example



- Evasion attack: attack against ML at testing time
  - [Szegedy et al. 13], [Biggio et al. 13], [Goodfellow et al. 14],
    [Carlini and Wagner 17], [Madry et al. 17], [Athalye et al. 18], ...
- Implications
  - Small (imperceptible) modification at testing time can change the classification of any data point to any targeted class

## **Adversarial Examples**





- N. Carlini and D. Wagner. *Towards Evaluating the Robustness of Neural Networks*. In IEEE Security and Privacy Symposium 2017
- Goal: create minimum perturbations for adversarial examples
- They always exist!
- Application domains: image recognition, videos classification, text models, speech recognition

## **Evasion Attacks For Neural Networks**



- Most existing attacks are in continuous domains
- Images represented as matrix of pixels with continuous values
- Optimization problem solved with gradient descent

## **Evasion Attacks for Security**



#### Challenge

- Attacks for continuous domains do not result in feasible adversarial examples Solution
- New framework for evasion attacks taking into account feature constraints
- Iterative modification guided by gradient values

#### **Evasion Attack for Malicious Connection Classifier**

	Time	Src IP	Dst IP	Prot.	Port	Sent bytes	Recv. bytes	Sent packets	Recv. packets	Duration
Raw	9:00:00	147.32.84.59	77.75.72.57	ТСР	80	1065	5817	10	11	5.37
network	9:00:05	147.32.84.59	87.240.134.159	ТСР	80	950	340	7	5	25.25
logs	9:00:12	147.32.84.59	77.75.77.9	ТСР	80	1256	422	5	5	0.0048
	9:00:20	147.32.84.165	209.85.148.147	ТСР	443	112404	0	87	0	432

- Goal: Distinguish malicious and benign network connections
- Features: Aggregated statistical features per port
- Attack: Insert TCP or UDP connections on the determined port
- Physical constraints on network

– Max packet size, latency, protocol accepted per port

## How Effective are Evasion Attacks in Security?



A. Chernikova and A. Oprea. *Adversarial Examples for Deep-Learning Cyber Security Analytics.* <u>http://arxiv.org/abs/1909.10480</u>, 2019.

### How Effective are Evasion Attacks in Security?



Malicious connection classifier

Malicious domain classifier

- Significant degradation of ML classifiers in security
- Small amount of perturbation is effective
- General framework for adversarial testing in discrete domains

## Increasing Robustness of ML in Security

- Adversarial re-training
  - Train model iteratively
  - In each iteration, generate adversarial examples and add to training
- Implications
  - Adversarial training can improve robustness of ML model



## **Evasion Attacks in Connected Cars**

- Udacity challenge: Predict steering angle from camera images, 2014
- Actions
  - Turn left (negative steering angle)
  - Turn right (positive steering angle)
  - Straight (steering angle in [-T,T])
- Dataset has 33,608 images and steering angle values (70GB of data)



#### Predict direction: Straight, Left, Right Predict steering angle

A. Chernikova, A. Oprea, C. Nita-Rotaru, and B. Kim.

Are Self-Driving Cars Secure? Evasion Attacks against Deep Neural Networks for Self-Driving Cars.

In IEEE SafeThings 2019. https://arxiv.org/abs/1904.07370

## **CNN for Direction Prediction**



• Two CNN architectures: 25 million and 467 million parameters

## **Evasion Attack against Regression**

- First evasion attack for CNNs for regression
- New objective function
  - Minimize adversarial perturbation
  - Maximize the square residuals (difference between the predicted and true response)

$$\min_{\delta} c \left\| \delta \right\|_{2}^{2} - G(x + \delta, y)$$
  
such that  $x + \delta \in [0, 1]^{d}$   
 $G(x + \delta, y) = [F(x + \delta) - y]^{2}$ 



- 10% of adversarial images have MSE 20 times higher than legitimate
- The maximum ratio of adversarial to legitimate MSE reaches 69

## Adversarial Example for Regression





Original Image Steering angle = -4.25; MSE = 0.0016 Adversarial Image Steering angle = -2.25; MSE = 0.05

- Significant degradation of CNN classifiers in connected cars
- Small amount of perturbation is effective
- Models for both classification and regression are vulnerable

#### Taxonomy

#### Attacker's Objective

	<b>Targeted</b> Target small set of points	Availability Target majority of points	<b>Privacy</b> Learn sensitive information
Training	Targeted Poisoning Backdoor Trojan Attacks	Poisoning Availability	Membership Inference
Testing	Evasion Attacks Adversarial Examples	_	Membership Inference Model Extraction

# **Training-Time Attacks**

• ML is trained by crowdsourcing data in many applications

- Social networks
- News articles
- Tweets



- Navigation systems
- Face recognition
- Mobile sensors

• Cannot fully trust training data!



# Poisoning Availability Attacks



- Attacker Objective:
  - Corrupt the predictions by the ML model significantly
- Attacker Capability:
  - Insert fraction of poisoning points in training

M. Jagielski, A. Oprea, B. Biggio, C. Liu, C. Nita-Rotaru, and B. Li. *Manipulating Machine Learning: Poisoning Attacks and Countermeasures for Regression Learning*. In IEEE S&P 2018

### **Optimization Formulation**

Given a training set D find a set of poisoning data points  $D_p$ 

that maximizes the adversary objective A on validation set  $D_{val}$ 

where corrupted model  $\theta_p$  is learned by minimizing the loss L on  $D \cup D_p$ 

$$\operatorname{argmax}_{D_p} A(D_{val}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_p) \text{ s. } t.$$
$$\boldsymbol{\theta}_p \in \operatorname{argmin}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} L(D \cup D_p, \boldsymbol{\theta}_p)$$

Bilevel Optimization NP-Hard!

First white-box attack for linear regression [Jagielski et al. 18]

- Determine optimal poisoning point  $(x_c, y_c)$
- Optimize by both  $x_c$  and  $y_c$

## **Poisoning Regression**

• Improve existing attacks by a factor of at most 6.83



Predict loan rate with ridge regression (i.e. with L2 regularization)

## Is It Really a Threat?

- Case study on healthcare dataset (predict Warfarin medicine dosage)
- At 20% poisoning rate
  - Modifies 75% of patients' dosages by 93.49% for LASSO
  - Modifies 10% of patients' dosages by a factor of 4.59 for Ridge
- At 8% poisoning rate
  - Modifies 50% of the patients' dosages by 75.06%

Quantile	Initial Dosage	Ridge Difference	LASSO Difference	
0.1	15.5 mg/wk	31.54%	37.20%	
0.25	21 mg/wk	87.50%	93.49%	
0.5	30 mg/wk	150.99%	139.31%	
0.75	41.53 mg/wk	274.18%	224.08%	
0.9	52.5 mg/wk	459.63%	358.89%	

# **Poisoning Neural Networks**

#### Availability with 20% random label flipping



- Hard to change overall structure of decision boundary
- Availability attacks are easily detectable if classifier accuracy degrades

# New Attack: Subpopulation Poisoning



#### **Key Insights**

- Data has natural clusters (subpopulations)
- Some subpopulations are more vulnerable
- Minority populations are affected more!

Attack worked here!

### **Initial Results**

#### Subpopulation poisoning attack

- Perform data clustering
- Select clusters to poison (according to different criteria)
- Insert poisoned points from subpopulation with flipped label

Cluster	Original Accuracy	Poisoned Cluster Accuracy	<b>Poisoned Points</b>
C1: Size 35	100%	27.77%	70
C2: Size 29	94.11%	21.56%	58
C3: Size 22	100%	33.33%	36
C4: Size 26	100%	39.99%	43
C5: Size 39	92.85%	46.03%	65

UCI Adult Dataset

## Towards Stealthy Poisoning Attacks

- New subpopulation poisoning attack
  - Attack is stealthy (difficult to detect)
  - Insert a small number of poisoned points in training
  - Does not require change of testing data
- Research questions
  - Which subpopulations are more vulnerable?
  - How to maximize the impact of the attack with minimum number of poisoning points?
  - Are defenses possible? Our conjecture is that not really!

M. Jagielski, P. Hand, A. Oprea. *Subpopulation Data Poisoning Attacks*. In Robust AI in Financial Services workshop at NeurIPS 2019.

## Open Problem: Robust Al

#### DEEP LEARNING EVERYWHERE



- Most AI models are vulnerable in face of attacks!
  - Evasion (testing-time) attacks
  - Poisoning (training-time) attacks
  - Privacy attacks
- How to design AI algorithms robust to attacks?



## **Open Problem: Al under Constraints**



- AI models face conflicting requirements in practice
  - Privacy of user data
  - Fairness of predictions
- How to design AI algorithms under constraints?



# Takeaways

- Al has potential in security applications
  - Design intelligent and adaptive defense algorithms
  - Current research: AI and graph models to detect advanced attacks
  - *Current research*: Collaborative AI defenses
  - Open problems: Intelligent cyber defense, online learning in cyber
- ...But AI becomes a target of attack
  - Traditional ML and Deep Neural Networks are not resilient to adversarial manipulations at training and testing time
  - *Current research*: Evasion and poisoning attacks for cyber security
  - *Current research*: ML under privacy and fairness constraints
  - Open problems: Design AI algorithms resilient against attacks



## Acknowledgements

#### Contact Information Alina Oprea a.oprea@northeastern.edu



#### Northeastern University Cybersecurity & Privacy Institute

