# L14: Normalization 2

CS3200 Database design (fa18 s2)

https://northeastern-datalab.github.io/cs3200/

Version 10/25/2018

### Announcements!

- Class contributions:
  - Great by some! Thanks! Everyone: Please keep bringing your name plates
  - Recall that I ask people in class rows if nobody answers
- Changed Gradiance policies (feedback that you spend too much time)
  - you can work together on Gradiance
  - bumped Gradiance scores
- HW6 will be posted today
- Jupyter exercises

# Quick recap FDs

- Functional Dependency (FD): The value of one set of attributes (the determinant) uniquely determines the value of another set of attributes (the dependents)
- A superkey (SK) is as a set of attributes of a relation schema upon which all attributes of the schema are functionally dependent.
- A (candidate) key (CK) is a non-redundant (minimal) SK (sometimes called just "a key")
- Prime attribute: belonging to some candidate key
- Partial FD: FD in which more non-prime attributes are functionally dependent on part (but not all) of any CK
- **Transitive FD**: An FD between <u>two (or more) nonkey attributes</u> (important for distinction 3NF vs BCNF!)
- **3NF**: no partial nor transitive FD



"Good" vs. "Bad" FDs

#### We can start to develop a notion of **good** vs. **bad** FDs:

EmpID	Name	Phone	Position
E0045	Smith	1234	Clerk
E3542	Mike	9876	Salesrep
E1111	Smith	9876	Salesrep
E9999	Mary	1234	Lawyer

#### Intuitively:

EmpID -> Name, Phone, Position is "good FD"

 Minimal redundancy, less possibility of anomalies

"Good" vs. "Bad" FDs

#### We can start to develop a notion of **good** vs. **bad** FDs:

EmpID	Name	Phone	Position
E0045	Smith	1234	Clerk
E3542	Mike	9876	Salesrep
E1111	Smith	9876	Salesrep
E9999	Mary	1234	Lawyer

#### Intuitively:

EmpID -> Name, Phone, Position is "good FD"

But Position -> Phone *is a "bad FD"* 

 Redundancy! Possibility of data anomalies

#### "Good" vs. "Bad" FDs

Student	Course	Room
Mary	CS3200	WVF20
Joe	CS3200	WVF20
Sam	CS3200	WVF20
••	••	••

Returning to our original example... can you see how the "bad FD" {Course} -> {Room} could lead to an:

- Update Anomaly
- Insert Anomaly
- Delete Anomaly
- •

Given a set of FDs (from user) our goal is to:

- 1. Find all FDs, and
- 2. Eliminate the "Bad Ones".

### FDs for Relational Schema Design

- High-level idea: why do we care about FDs?
  - 1. Start with some relational schema
  - 2. Find out its functional dependencies (FDs)
  - 3. Use these to design a better schema
    - One which minimizes possibility of anomalies

This part can be tricky!

- There can be a very large number of FDs...
  - How to find them all efficiently?
- We can't necessarily show that any FD will hold on all instances...
  - How to do this?

We will start with this problem: Given a set of FDs, F, what other FDs *must* hold?

- Equivalent to asking: Given a set of FDs, F = {f<sub>1</sub>,...f<sub>n</sub>}, does an FD g hold?
  - Inference problem: How do we decide?

#### Example:

#### Products

Name	Color	Category	Dep	Price
Gizmo	Green	Gadget	Toys	49
Widget	Black	Gadget	Toys	59
Gizmo	Green	Whatsit	Garden	99

#### Provided FDs:

{Name} → {Color}
 {Category} → {Department}
 {Color, Category} → {Price}

W, CAL -> P

Given the provided FDs, we can see that {Name, Category}  $\rightarrow$  {Price} must also hold on **any instance**...

- Equivalent to asking: Given a set of FDs, F = {f<sub>1</sub>,...f<sub>n</sub>}, does an FD g hold?
  - Inference problem: How do we decide?

Answer: Three simple rules called **Armstrong's Rules**.

- 1. Split/Combine,
- 2. Reduction (Trivial), and
- 3. Transitivity... ideas by picture

# 1. Split/Combine



 $A_1, ..., A_m \rightarrow B_1, ..., B_n$ 

# 1. Split/Combine



$$A_1, ..., A_m \rightarrow B_1, ..., B_n$$

... is equivalent to the following *n* FDs...

$$A_1, \dots, A_m \rightarrow B_i$$
 for i=1,...,r

# 1. Split/Combine



And vice-versa,  $A_1, ..., A_m \rightarrow B_i$  for i=1,...,n

... is equivalent to ...

$$A_1, ..., A_m \rightarrow B_1, ..., B_n$$

### 2. Reduction (Trivial)



 $A_1,...,A_m \rightarrow A_j$  for any j=1,...,m

### 3. Transitive Closure



$$A_1, ..., A_m \rightarrow B_1, ..., B_n$$
 and  
 $B_1, ..., B_n \rightarrow C_1, ..., C_k$ 

### 3. Transitive Closure



$$A_1, ..., A_m \rightarrow B_1, ..., B_n$$
 and  
 $B_1, ..., B_n \rightarrow C_1, ..., C_k$ 

implies  $A_1, ..., A_m \rightarrow C_1, ..., C_k$ 

#### Example:

#### Products

Name	Color	Category	Dep	Price
Gizmo	Green	Gadget	Toys	49
Widget	Black	Gadget	Toys	59
Gizmo	Green	Whatsit	Garden	99

#### Provided FDs:

1. {Name}  $\rightarrow$  {Color}

- 2. {Category} → {Department}
- 3. {Color, Category} → {Price}

#### Armstrong's Rules:

- 1. Split/Combine,
- 2. Reduction (Trivial)
- 3. Transitivity

#### Provided FDs:

1. {Name} → {Color}
 2. {Category} → {Dept.}
 3. {Color, Category} → {Price}

#### Example:

#### **Inferred FDs:**

Inferred FD	Rule used
4. {Name, Category} -> {Name}	?
5. {Name, Category} -> {Color}	?
<pre>6. {Name, Category} -&gt; {Category}</pre>	?
7. {Name, Category -> {Color, Category}	?
8. {Name, Category} -> {Price}	?

336

#### Armstrong's Rules:

- 1. Split/Combine,
- 2. Reduction (Trivial)
- 3. Transitivity

#### Provided FDs:

1. {Name} → {Color}
 2. {Category} → {Dept.}
 3. {Color, Category} → {Price}

#### Example:

#### **Inferred FDs:**

Inferred FD	Rule used
4. {Name, Category} -> {Name}	Trivial
5. {Name, Category} -> {Color}	?
<pre>6. {Name, Category} -&gt; {Category}</pre>	?
7. {Name, Category -> {Color, Category}	?
8. {Name, Category} -> {Price}	?

337

#### Armstrong's Rules:

- Split/Combine, 1.
- Reduction (Trivial) 2.
- 3. Transitivity

#### **Provided FDs:**

1. {Name}  $\rightarrow$  {Color} 2. {Category}  $\rightarrow$  {Dept.} 3. {Color, Category}  $\rightarrow$ {Price}

Name, Category -> {Color, Category}	?
Name, Category} -> {Price}	?

Which / how many other FDs hold?

Example:

#### **Inferred FDs:**

Inferred FD	Rule used
4. {Name, Category} -> {Name}	Trivial
5. {Name, Category} -> {Color}	Transitive (4 -> 1)
<pre>6. {Name, Category} -&gt; {Category}</pre>	?
7. {Name, Category -> {Color, Category}	?
8. {Name, Category} -> {Price}	?

#### Armstrong's Rules:

- 1. Split/Combine,
- 2. Reduction (Trivial)
- 3. Transitivity

#### Provided FDs:

1. {Name} → {Color}
 2. {Category} → {Dept.}
 3. {Color, Category} →
 {Price}

#### Example:

#### **Inferred FDs:**

Inferred FD	Rule used
4. {Name, Category} -> {Name}	Trivial
5. {Name, Category} -> {Color}	Transitive (4 -> 1)
<pre>6. {Name, Category} -&gt; {Category}</pre>	Trivial
7. {Name, Category -> {Color, Category}	?
8. {Name, Category} -> {Price}	?

#### Armstrong's Rules:

- 1. Split/Combine,
- 2. Reduction (Trivial)
- 3. Transitivity

#### Provided FDs:

1. {Name} → {Color}
 2. {Category} → {Dept.}
 3. {Color, Category} →
 {Price}

#### Example:

#### **Inferred FDs:**

Inferred FD	Rule used
4. {Name, Category} -> {Name}	Trivial
5. {Name, Category} -> {Color}	Transitive (4 -> 1)
6. {Name, Category} -> {Category}	Trivial
7. {Name, Category -> {Color, Category}	Split/combine (5 + 6
8. {Name, Category} -> {Price}	?

Can we find an algorithmic way to do this?

Armstrong's Rules:

- 1. Split/Combine,
- 2. Reduction (Trivial)
- 3. Transitivity

#### **Inferred FDs:**

Example:

Inferred FD	Rule used
4. {Name, Category} -> {Name}	Trivial
5. {Name, Category} -> {Color}	Transitive (4 -> 1)
6. {Name, Category} -> {Category}	Trivial
7. {Name, Category -> {Color, Category}	Split/combine (5 + 6)
8. {Name, Category} -> {Price}	Transitive (7 -> 3)

#### Provided FDs:

1. {Name} → {Color}
 2. {Category} → {Dept.}
 3. {Color, Category} →
 {Price}

Given a set of attributes  $A_1, ..., A_n$  and a set of FDs F: Then the <u>closure</u>,  $\{A_1, ..., A_n\}^+$  is the set of attributes B s.t.  $\{A_1, ..., A_n\} \rightarrow B$ 

Given a set of attributes  $A_1, ..., A_n$  and a set of FDs F: Then the <u>closure</u>,  $\{A_1, ..., A_n\}^+$  is the set of attributes B s.t.  $\{A_1, ..., A_n\} \rightarrow B$ 

Example Closures: {name}+ = ?
{name, category}+ = ?
{color}+ = ?

Given a set of attributes A<sub>1</sub>, ..., A<sub>n</sub> and a set of FDs F: Then the <u>closure</u>,  $\{A_1, ..., A_n\}^+$  is the set of attributes **B** s.t.  $\{A_1, ..., A_n\} \rightarrow B$ 

Example:
$$F = \{name\} \rightarrow \{color\} \\ \{category\} \rightarrow \{dept\} \\ \{color, category\} \rightarrow \{price\} \}$$
Example  
Closures: $\{name\}^+ = \{name, color\} \\ \{name, category\}^+ = ?$ 

-10341 ES

 $\{color\}^+ = ?$ 

Given a set of attributes  $A_1, ..., A_n$  and a set of FDs F: Then the <u>closure</u>,  $\{A_1, ..., A_n\}^+$  is the set of attributes B s.t.  $\{A_1, ..., A_n\} \rightarrow B$ 

Example Closures:

```
{name}+ = {name, color}
{name, category}+ =
    {name, category, color, ...}
{color}+ = ?
```

Given a set of attributes  $A_1, ..., A_n$  and a set of FDs F: Then the <u>closure</u>,  $\{A_1, ..., A_n\}^+$  is the set of attributes B s.t.  $\{A_1, ..., A_n\} \rightarrow B$ 

Example Closures: {name}+ = {name, color}
{name, category}+ =
 {name, category, color, dept, price}
 {color}+ = ?

Given a set of attributes  $A_1, ..., A_n$  and a set of FDs F: Then the <u>closure</u>,  $\{A_1, ..., A_n\}^+$  is the set of attributes B s.t.  $\{A_1, ..., A_n\} \rightarrow B$ 

Example Closures: {name}+ = {name, color}
{name, category}+ =
 {name, category, color, dept, price}
 {color}+ = {color}

Start with  $X = \{A_1, ..., A_n\}$  and set of FDs F. **Repeat until** X doesn't change; **do**: if  $\{B_1, ..., B_m\} \rightarrow C$  is entailed by F and  $\{B_1, ..., B_m\} \subseteq X$ then add C to X. Return X as X<sup>+</sup>

Start with X =  $\{A_1, ..., A_n\}$ , FDs F. Repeat until X doesn't change; do: if  $\{B_1, ..., B_m\} \rightarrow C$  is in F and  $\{B_1, ..., B_m\} \subseteq X$ : then add C to X. Return X as X<sup>+</sup> {name, category}+ =
{name, category}

= =

{name} → {color}
{category} → {dept}
{color, category} →
{price}

Start with  $X = \{A_1, ..., A_n\}$ , FDs F. Repeat until X doesn't change; do: if  $\{B_1, ..., B_m\} \rightarrow C$  is in F and  $\{B_1, ..., B_m\} \subseteq X$ : then add C to X. Return X as X<sup>+</sup> {name, category}\* =
{name, category}

{name, category}\* =
{name, category, color}

{name} → {color}
{category} → {dept}

{color, category} →
{price}

Start with X =  $\{A_1, ..., A_n\}$ , FDs F. Repeat until X doesn't change; do: if  $\{B_1, ..., B_m\} \rightarrow C$  is in F and  $\{B_1, ..., B_m\} \subseteq X$ : then add C to X. Return X as X<sup>+</sup> {name, category}+ =
{name, category}

{name, category}\* =
{name, category, color}

 $\{\text{name}\} \rightarrow \{\text{color}\}$ 

 $\{category\} \rightarrow \{dept\}$ 

{color, category} →
{price}

{name, category}<sup>+</sup> =
{name, category, color, dept}

Start with X =  $\{A_1, ..., A_n\}$ , FDs F. Repeat until X doesn't change; do: if  $\{B_1, ..., B_m\} \rightarrow C$  is in F and  $\{B_1, ..., B_m\} \subseteq X$ : then add C to X. Return X as X<sup>+</sup>

 $\{\text{name}\} \rightarrow \{\text{color}\}$ 

{category} → {dept}

{color, category} →
{price}

{name, category}\* =
{name, category}

{name, category}\* =
{name, category, color}

{name, category}\* =
{name, category, color, dept}

{name, category}+ =
{name, category, color, dept,
price}



$$\{A,B\} \rightarrow \{C\} \\ \{A,D\} \rightarrow \{E\} \\ \{B\} \rightarrow \{D\} \\ \{A,F\} \rightarrow \{B\}$$

}

}

Compute  $\{A,B\}^+ = \{A, B, B, A, B, A, B, B, A, B, A,$ 

Compute  $\{A, F\}^+ = \{A, F, F\}^+$ 





Compute  $\{A,B\}^+ = \{A, B, C, D\}$ 

Compute  $\{A, F\}^+ = \{A, F, F\}^+$ 





Compute 
$$\{A,B\}^+ = \{A, B, C, D, E\}^+$$

Compute  $\{A, F\}^+ = \{A, F, F\}^+$ 





Compute  $\{A,B\}^+ = \{A, B, C, D, E\}$ 

Compute  $\{A, F\}^+ = \{A, B, F, F\}^+$ 





Compute  $\{A,B\}^+ = \{A, B, C, D, E\}$ 

Compute  $\{A, F\}^+ = \{A, B, C, F, A, B, A,$ 





Compute  $\{A,B\}^+ = \{A, B, C, D, E\}$ 

Compute  $\{A, F\}^+ = \{A, B, C, D, E, F\}$ 

# Closures, Superkeys, and (Candidate) Keys

### What we will see next

- Closures Part 2
- Superkeys & Keys
- Practice: The key or a key?

### Why Do We Need the Closure?

- With closure we can find all FD's easily
- To check if  $X \to A$ 
  - Compute X<sup>+</sup>

- Check if  $A \in X^+$ 

Note here that **X** is a *set* of attributes, but **A** is a *single* attribute. Why does considering FDs of this form suffice?

Recall the <u>Split/combine</u> rule:  $X \rightarrow A_1, ..., X \rightarrow A_n$  *implies*  $X \rightarrow \{A_1, ..., A_n\}$ 

Step 1: Compute X<sup>+</sup>, for every set of attributes X:

```
{A}^{+} = {A}
\{B\}^+ = \{B, D\}
\{C\}^+ = \{C\}
\{D\}^+ = \{D\}
{A,B}^+ = {A,B,C,D}
{A,C}^+ = {A,C}
{A,D}^+ = {A,B,C,D}
{A,B,C}^+ = {A,B,D}^+ = {A,C,D}^+ = {A,B,C,D}
\{B,C,D\}^+ = \{B,C,D\}
{A,B,C,D}^+ = {A,B,C,D}
```

```
 \begin{array}{c} \{A,B\} \rightarrow C \\ \{A,D\} \rightarrow B \\ \{B\} \rightarrow D \end{array} \end{array}
```

Example:

Given F =

No need to compute all of these- why?

Step 1: Compute X<sup>+</sup>, for every set of attributes X:

$${A}^{+} = {A}, {B}^{+} = {B,D}, {C}^{+} = {C}, {D}^{+} = {D}, {A,B}^{+} = {A,B,C,D}, {A,C}^{+} = {A,C}, {A,D}^{+} = {A,B,C,D}, {A,B,C}^{+} = {A,B,D}^{+} = {A,C,D}^{+} = {A,B,C,D}, {B,C,D}^{+} = {B,C,D}, {A,B,C,D}^{+} = {A,B,C,D}$$

Example: $\{A,B\} \rightarrow C$ Given F = $\{A,D\} \rightarrow B$  $\{B\} \rightarrow D$ 

Step 2: Enumerate all FDs X  $\rightarrow$  Y, s.t. Y  $\subseteq$  X<sup>+</sup> and X  $\cap$  Y =  $\emptyset$ :

$$\{A,B\} \rightarrow \{C,D\}, \{A,D\} \rightarrow \{B,C\}, \\ \{A,B,C\} \rightarrow \{D\}, \{A,B,D\} \rightarrow \{C\}, \\ \{A,C,D\} \rightarrow \{B\}$$

Step 1: Compute X<sup>+</sup>, for every set of attributes X:

$${A}^{+} = {A}, {B}^{+} = {B,D}, {C}^{+} = {C}, {D}^{+} = {D}, {A,B}^{+} = {A,B,C,D}, {A,C}^{+} = {A,C}, {A,D}^{+} = {A,B,C,D}, {A,B,C}^{+} = {A,B,D}^{+} = {A,C,D}^{+} = {A,B,C,D}, {B,C,D}^{+} = {B,C,D}, {A,B,C,D}^{+} = {A,B,C,D}$$

Example:<br/>Given F = $\{A, B\} \rightarrow C$ <br/> $\{A, D\} \rightarrow B$ <br/> $\{B\} \rightarrow D$ 

Step 2: Enumerate all FDs X  $\rightarrow$  Y, s.t.  $Y \subseteq X^+$  and  $X \cap Y = \emptyset$ :

$$\{A,B\} \rightarrow \{C,D\}, \{A,D\} \rightarrow \{B,C\}, \\ \{A,B,C\} \rightarrow \{D\}, \{A,B,D\} \rightarrow \{C\}, \\ \{A,C,D\} \rightarrow \{B\}$$

"Y is in the closure of X"

Step 1: Compute X<sup>+</sup>, for every set of attributes X:

$${A}^{+} = {A}, {B}^{+} = {B,D}, {C}^{+} = {C}, {D}^{+} = {D}, {A,B}^{+} = {A,B,C,D}, {A,C}^{+} = {A,C}, {A,D}^{+} = {A,B,C,D}, {A,B,C}^{+} = {A,B,D}^{+} = {A,C,D}^{+} = {A,B,C,D}, {B,C,D}^{+} = {B,C,D}, {A,B,C,D}^{+} = {A,B,C,D}$$

Example: $\{A$ Given F = $\{A$ 

 $\begin{array}{c} \{A,B\} \rightarrow C\\ \{A,D\} \rightarrow B\\ \{B\} \rightarrow D \end{array}$ 

Step 2: Enumerate all FDs X  $\rightarrow$  Y, s.t. Y  $\subseteq$  X<sup>+</sup> and X  $\cap$  Y =  $\varnothing$ :

 $\{A,B\} \rightarrow \{C,D\}, \{A,D\} \rightarrow \{B,C\}, \\ \{A,B,C\} \rightarrow \{D\}, \{A,B,D\} \rightarrow \{C\}, \\ \{A,C,D\} \rightarrow \{B\}$ 

The FD X → Y is non-trivial

### Keys and Superkeys

A <u>superkey</u> is a set of attributes  $A_1, ..., A_n$  s.t. for *any other* attribute **B** in R, we have  $\{A_1, ..., A_n\} \rightarrow B$ 

I.e. all attributes are functionally determined by a superkey

A <u>key</u> is a *minimal* superkey (also called "candidate key") This means that no subset of a key is also a superkey (i.e., dropping any attribute from the key makes it no longer a superkey)

# Finding Keys and Superkeys

- For each set of attributes X
  - Compute X<sup>+</sup>
  - If X<sup>+</sup> = set of all attributes then X is a <u>superkey</u>
  - If X is minimal, then it is a key

# Example of Finding Keys



Product(name, price, category, color)

{name, category}  $\rightarrow$  price  $\{category\} \rightarrow color$ 

What is a key?

# Example of Finding Keys

Product(name, price, category, color)

{name, category} → price
{category} → color

{name, category}+ = {name, price, category, color}

- = the set of all attributes
- $\Rightarrow$  this is a **superkey**

 $\Rightarrow$  this is a **key**, since neither **name** nor **category** alone is a superkey

Practice

• Activity-21.ipynb



# Complete Normalization Practice!





#### StaffPropertyInspection

propertyNo	pAddress	iDate	iTime	comments	staffNo	sName	carReg
PG4	6 Lawrence St, Glasgow	18-Oct-03	10:00	need to replace crockery	SG37	Ann Beech	M231 JGR
		22-Apr-04	09:00	in good order	SG14	David Ford	M533 HDR
		1-Oct-04	12:00	damp rot in bathroom	SG14	David Ford	N721 HFR
PG16	5 Novar Dr, Glasgow	22-Apr-04	13:00	replace living room carpet	SG14	David Ford	M533 HDR
		24-Oct-04	14:00	good condition	SG37	Ann Beech	N721 HFR

#### Can a database store this information? Is it in 1NF?

- When staff are required to undertake these inspections, they are allocated a company car for use on the day of the inspections. (One car per person & day)
- However, a car may be allocated to several members of staff as required throughout the working day.
- A member of staff may inspect several properties on a given date, but a property is only inspected once on a given date.



#### StaffPropertyInspection

propertyNo	iDate	iTime	pAddress	comments	staffNo	sName	carReg
PG4	18-Oct-03	10:00	6 Lawrence St, Glasgow	need to replace crockery	SG37	Ann Beech	M231 JGR
PG4	22-Apr-04	09:00	6 Lawrence St, Glasgow	in good order	SG14	David Ford	M533 HDR
PG4	1-Oct-04	12:00	6 Lawrence St, Glasgow	damp rot in bathroom	SG14	David Ford	N721 HFR
PG16	22-Apr-04	13:00	5 Novar Dr, Glasgow	replace living room carpet	SG14	David Ford	M533 HDR
PG16	24-Oct-04	14:00	5 Novar Dr, Glasgow	good condition	SG37	Ann Beech	N721 HFR

#### No! Only now a database can store the information: 1NF But we still need a primary key

- When staff are required to undertake these inspections, they are allocated a company car for use on the day of the inspections. (One car per person & day)
- However, a car may be allocated to several members of staff as required throughout the working day.
- A member of staff may inspect several properties on a given date, but a property is only inspected once on a given date.



#### StaffPropertyInspection

<u>propertyNo</u>	<u>iDate</u>	iTime	pAddress	comments	staffNo	sName	carReg
PG4	18-Oct-03	10:00	6 Lawrence St, Glasgow	need to replace crockery	SG37	Ann Beech	M231 JGR
PG4	22-Apr-04	09:00	6 Lawrence St, Glasgow	in good order	SG14	David Ford	M533 HDR
PG4	1-Oct-04	12:00	6 Lawrence St, Glasgow	damp rot in bathroom	SG14	David Ford	N721 HFR
PG16	22-Apr-04	13:00	5 Novar Dr, Glasgow	replace living room carpet	SG14	David Ford	M533 HDR
PG16	24-Oct-04	14:00	5 Novar Dr, Glasgow	good condition	SG37	Ann Beech	N721 HFR

#### Now 1NF + PK

- When staff are required to undertake these inspections, they are allocated a company car for use on the day of the inspections. (One car per person & day)
- However, a car may be allocated to several members of staff as required throughout the working day.
- A member of staff may inspect several properties on a given date, but a property is only inspected once on a given date.



#### StaffPropertyInspection

<u>propertyNo</u>	<u>iDate</u>	iTime	pAddress	comments	staffNo	sName	carReg
-------------------	--------------	-------	----------	----------	---------	-------	--------

#### Draw all FDs

- When staff are required to undertake these inspections, they are allocated a company car for use on the day of the inspections. (One car per person & day)
- However, a car may be allocated to several members of staff as required throughout the working day.
- A member of staff may inspect several properties on a given date, but a property is only inspected once on a given date.



#### StaffPropertyInspection

<u>propertyNo</u>	<u>iDate</u>	iTime	pAddress	comments	staffNo	sName	carReg
		1	↑ (full, P	к) 🕇	1	1	1

- When staff are required to undertake these inspections, they are allocated a company car for use on the day of the inspections. (One car per person & day)
- However, a car may be allocated to several members of staff as required throughout the working day.
- A member of staff may inspect several properties on a given date, but a property is only inspected once on a given date.



#### StaffPropertyInspection

<u>propertyNo</u>	<u>iDate</u>	iTime	pAddress	comments	staffNo	sName	carReg
		1	† (full, F	νк) ↑	1	1	1
			<b>f</b> (parti	al)		(tra	ansitive)

- When staff are required to undertake these inspections, they are allocated a company car for use on the day of the inspections. (One car per person & day)
- However, a car may be allocated to several members of staff as required throughout the working day.
- A member of staff may inspect several properties on a given date, but a property is only inspected once on a given date.



#### StaffPropertyInspection

<u>propertyNo</u>	<u>iDate</u>	iTime	pAddress		comme	nts	staffNo	sName	carReg
		1	1	(full <i>,</i> P	K)	1	1	1	
				(partia	l)			(tra	ansitive)

- When staff are required to undertake these inspections, they are allocated a company car for use on the day of the inspections. (One car per person & day)
- However, a car may be allocated to several members of staff as required throughout the working day.
- A member of staff may inspect several properties on a given date, but a property is only inspected once on a given date.



#### StaffPropertyInspection

<u>propertyNo</u>	<u>iDate</u>	iTime	pAddress		comn	nents	staffNo	sName	carReg
		1	1	(full, P	К)	1	1	1	
			<b>†</b>	(partia	1)			t (tr	ansitive)
(other)									

- When staff are required to undertake these inspections, they are allocated a company car for use on the day of the inspections. (One car per person & day)
- However, a car may be allocated to several members of staff as required throughout the working day.
- A member of staff may inspect several properties on a given date, but a property is only inspected once on a given date.

Exam	ple:	Dre	eamŀ	lome	Rent	tal		
StaffPropert	Inspectio	n						S.C.
propertyNo	<u>iDate</u>	iTime	pAddress	C	omments	st <del>a f</del> n	o sName	carReg
		1	Ì	(full, PK)				
			1	(partial)			<b>†</b> (t	ransitive)
(other)								
t			1	(Candida	ate K) 🕇		<u> </u>	
t			1	(Candida	ate K) 🕇	1	↑	

- When staff are required to undertake these inspections, they are allocated a company car for use on the day of the inspections. (One car per person & day)
- However, a car may be allocated to several members of staff as required throughout the working day.
- A member of staff may inspect several properties on a given date, but a property is only inspected once on a given date.



Property









Extra question: We now have a composite FK (idate, staffno) from INSPECTION to STAFFCAR. Thus (idate, staffno) is a composite PK in STAFFCAR. Assume we like to replace it with a surrogate key. How would the resulting completely normalized tables look like?



#### Property



#### This is now fully normalized.

Downside: we need to join INSPECTION with STAFFCAR every time we like to find out about when a property (by "properyNo") was last inspected